

## Development of *Insilico* Based Molecular Marker Systems in Medicinal Plants of India: Future Prospects

BANIEKAL HIREMATH GANGADHAR

Department of Molecular Biotechnology  
Konkuk University, Seoul  
South Korea

RAJESH SINGH TOMAR

ANURAG JYOTI

VIKAS SRIVASTVA

SHUCHI KAUSHIK

RAGHVENDRA KUMAR MISHRA<sup>1</sup>

Amity Institute of Biotechnology  
Amity University Madhya Pradesh, Gwalior  
India

### Abstract:

*India has nine different Biodiversity zones, including more than 7000 medicinal plants. In several ancient books like Vedas, Ramayana and Mahabharat, the roles of medicinal plants have been described in details. The demand for medicinal plants in the Global markets is increasing day by day because of their broad spectrum like anti-microbial, anti-fungal and anti-cancer activity without any side effects as compared to synthetic drugs. Due to these advantages herbal drugs have a great potential in the developing and developed countries. Several traditional and modern technologies have been used for the identification and characterization of medicinal plants. Conventional DNA-based molecular markers including RAPD, RFLP, ISSR, AFLP, and Microsatellite are widely accepted by many research institutes all over the world. However, the limitation of these molecular markers restricts scientist and researchers to further investigations of medicinal*

---

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: rkmishra@gwa.amity.edu

*plants at large scale. Insilico based molecular markers like EST-SSR, ILP, SNP have a great amplification, gene based information, and transferability properties. These specific properties of Insilico based molecular markers, may increase the herbal drug analysis and the authentication of plant species of medicinal importance.*

**Key words:** *Insilico* Based Molecular Markers, medicinal plants, India, herbal drugs.

## Introduction

Since the beginning of human civilization, medicinal plants are playing a very vital role in drug development, nature conservation, biodiversity and economy of the country. Even today, the millions of people from all over the worlds depend upon these herbal medicines, developed from individual plants and combination of plants (WHO 2005). The Indian subcontinent specially Himalaya region is a natural resource of herbal plants because it represents an extremely unique ecosystem that is favorable for cultivation of medicinal plant associated with several ancient treatment systems of Ayurveda, Folk medicines, Homeopathy, Siddha and Amchi (Tibetan).

In India more than 8000 medicinal plants species are available among them 90% are belonging to forest habitats. Apart from India, several other hot spots have been identified and around 70,000 plant species are used in folk medicine globally (Table 1; Farnsworth and Soejarto 1991).

S. No.	Forest Zones of India	Area comes under these Zones	No. of known medicinal plants
1	Himalayan zone 1	Tibetan area	700
2	Himalayan zone 2	(i) North West Himalaya (ii) Western Himalaya (iii) Central Himalaya (iv) Eastern Himalaya	2900
3	Desert zones	Kutch and Thar	500

4	Semi-arid zone	Punjab Gujarat-Rajwara	1,000
5	Western Ghats	(i) Western Ghats mountains (ii) Malabar coasts	2,000
6	Deccan Peninsula	(i) Deccan Plateau south (ii) Central Plateau (iii) Eastern Plateau (iv) Chhota Nagpur (v) central Highlands	3,000
7	Gangetic Plains	(i) Upper Gangetic Plains (ii) Lower Gangetic Plains	1,000
8	North East India	(i) Brahmaputra valley (ii) Assam hills	2000
9	Islands	(i) Andaman islands (ii) Nicobar islands (iii) Lakshdeep islands	1,000
10	Coasts.	(i) West coasts (ii) East coasts	500
	Total no. of plants		14600

**Table 1. Geographical distribution of medicinal plants under different zones in India**

Now herbal drugs are widely accepted worldwide because of their specific biological activity without any side effect and cheaper in compare to synthetic drugs. According to Kate and Laird (1999), out of 150 top prescription drugs available in world 18% are developed from plant resources. Because of these medicinal properties cultivation and global demand for medicinal plant-based raw materials is growing day to day worldwide. According to WHO (2003) study, global market size for herbal and medicinal plants is projected to reach US\$ 5 trillion by 2050.

Several new technologies now have been developed and successfully applied for large scale production of secondary metabolites and cultivation of herbal plants and extracts (Ferreira 1997; Veronese *et al.* 2002). Several countries now adopted breeding and biotechnological approaches to improve the quality and quantity of medicinal plants. Previously, traditional breeding methods like conventional breeding were

successfully utilized to improve the plant growth, high yield and improve the quality of secondary metabolites of medicinal plants. However, conventional breeding programme consisting of several steps like crossing and selection of the superior recombinants segregating populations. Indeed, such a procedure is laborious, long generation time and dependence on environmental conditions may make it further difficult to achieve the desired objective. After the discovery of DNA structure, molecular marker techniques greatly changed the scenario of crop and non crop plant genome research and breeding. These DNA based molecular markers like Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD), Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), Simple sequence repeat (SSR) and *insilico* based markers EST-SSR have acted as very useful tools in several plant systems like medicago, pea, capsicum and wheat (Thoquet *et al.* 2002; Gupta *et al.* 2003; Portis *et al.* 2007; Mishra *et al.* 2009, 2012). DNA based molecular markers have several applications in plants including gene mapping, mapping, QTL analysis, evaluation of the seed purity, genetic diagnostics, characterization of transformants, study of genome organization and phylogenetic analysis (Jain *et al.* 2002). The molecular marker like RFLP, RAPD and AFLP are widely accepted for several diversity, mapping, and testing related work in mid 1990-2000, however now they are considered as 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> generation of markers because of development of informative and highly polymorphic sequence based molecular markers.

Now scientists have developed *insilico* based molecular markers system that are more reliable, easy in process, time saving, more conserve between species and cheap in development. Extensive research is carried out by several research institute/seed company/pharmaceutical from all over the world to utilize these sequence based molecular markers systems in breeding, pharmacognostic, identification and

characterization of medicinally important trees/plant species. These DNA markers have proved their utility in various commercially important medicinal plants like *Catharanthus* and *Artemisia* (Mishra *et al.* 2011; Graham *et al.* 2010). Although several research and review papers were published on application of molecular markers in medicinal plants however very few studies are carried out on *Insilico* based molecular markers in medicinal plants. In this paper, we review the future developments and prospects for improving quality and quantity of herbal plants using advanced and *insilico* molecular marker technology.

### **Types of DNA markers used in plant genome analysis**

Many molecular marker systems have been developed in last the three decades. Generally, they are divided into three types based on function (a) morphological markers (b) biochemical (c) molecular (DNA) markers. Presently molecular markers are most accepted and widely used in several plants systems, because of their abundance, polymorphism, reliability, reproducibility and free from environmental factors. In principle, these DNA based molecular markers can reveal or explored genetic differences between two plants that are called polymorphisms and these are described as co-dominant or dominant markers. Based on these features now molecular markers are becomes the most reliable techniques to identification of germplasm and information on genetic diversity at the DNA level (Raddova *et al.* 2003). Gupta *et al.* 2001, classified DNA markers into three classes according to their development in last three decades:

- (a) First Generation: RFLPs, RAPDs and their modifications
- (b) Second Generation: SSRs, AFLPs and their modified forms,
- (c) The third generation molecular: ESTs and SNPs.

(d) Fourth generation: miRNA based (New marker system)

Further these molecular markers can be classified as hybridization based, PCR based and Sequencing-based markers. Hybridization based polymorphisms include RFLP (Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism) (Sambrooke *et al.* 1989) and VNTR (Variable Number of Tandem Repeats) loci (Rogstad 1994, 1996; Weising *et al.* 1992), where random genomic clones, cDNA clones, microsatellite and minisatellite sequence were used as a probes for hybridization of digested DNA (Kumar 1999). In PCR-based methods, single primer or a primer pairs uses for DNA amplification reaction. PCR based methods are random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPDs), Inter simple sequence repeats (ISSR), simple sequence repeats (SSRs), sequence-tagged sites (STS). Recent advances in sequencing technology open a new approach for large-scale development of Insilco based molecular markers in plants.

### **Available Marker Systems**

Molecular marker based techniques have been widely accepted for characterization and authentication of different medicinal important plants/herbs. This technique is extremely useful in some specific cases where different plants varieties have similar phenotypes/phytochemical characters. Phenotypic/morphological markers were also used in several crop and non crop plants species for diversity and mapping study however they have some limitations since they are highly dependent on environmental factors and laborious and time consuming, need large space and money. In case of molecular markers, the polymorphisms are based on alteration of DNA sequence, are independent of external environmental factors. RFLP, AFLP and CAPS molecular markers classified as Hybridization-based assay however AFLP and CAPS are combination of PCR based technique and digestion with

restriction enzymes. The basic of hybridization based method was detecting digested DNA fragments by hybridization with various radio-labeled probes such as random genomic clones and cDNA clones. Various types of mutations like point, insertion, deletion, and inversion are responsible for RFLP polymorphism in DNA. These mutations can lead to create or abolished or rearrange of restriction sites in DNA. Because of this property RFLP marker was an important tool for genetic fingerprinting, genome mapping, gene identification and determination of risk for disease (Srivatsava and Mishra, 2009). The AFLP technology was based on combination of RFLPs and PCR-based methods. Development of large number of bands, highly polymorphism, reproducibility and no need of prior information related to DNA sequence make AFLP an attractive technique for several studies including constructing a linkage map from a segregating population, genetic variation, measuring populaci3n genetic structure (Shan *et al.* 2005; Mauro *et al.* 2009; Peters *et al.* 2009). AFLP markers successfully used in several medicinal plants like mentha (Shasany *et al.* 2005) and neem (Singh *et al.* 2002), *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* (Zhang *et al.* 2010).

DNA based research and marker-assisted applications in began to flourish in the late 1980s after the development of polymerase chain reaction (PCR; PCR; Mullis and Faloona 1987). Among the different types of PCR based markers, random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers are free from any prior information of genotypes and more applicable for the assessment of genetic diversity among rare species (William *et al.* 1990). Because of their simplicity, high speed and low costs of application, RAPD markers became more popular as compared to other molecular markers. RAPD molecular markers successfully applied in several plants like neem (Farooqui *et al.* 1998), *Allium* subgenus *Melanocrommyum* (Friesen *et al.* 1997), *Allium schoenoprasum* L. (Friesen and Blattner, 2000), *Taxus allichiana* (Shasany *et al.* 1999),

*Codonopsis pilosula* (Fu *et al.* 1999), *Juniperus communis* L. (Adams *et al.* 2003), *Catharanthus roseus* (Gupta *et al.* 2007) for diversity study. In contrast to RAPD, ISSR primers are designed from SSR motifs and dispersed throughout the genome however both have similar practical approaches (Gupta *et al.* 1996; Buhulikar *et al.* 2004) and widely used in many respects such as the study of genetic diversity, gene mapping and molecular mapping in pea, *Cannabis sativa* (Kojoma *et al.* 2002), *Catharanthus* (Gupta *et al.* 2007, Mishra *et al.* 2009). Further these PCR based marker linked with trait of interest can be modified into more reliable form of marker like sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) or sequence tagged site (STS) markers. The ability of modification of these markers have provided a new platform for the development of new marker system that are more appropriate for breeders of all commercial crops including medicinal plants (Jain *et al.* 2004; Jain *et al.* 2010; Ahn *et al.* 2011).

All these previous markers provided basic information for mapping and diversity study however they have some limitation like availability, reproducibility and time consumption. Further study of in this direction provide a new era of molecular markers with the development of simple sequence repeat markers (SSR; Gupta *et al.* 1996), also known as microsatellites. The microsatellite or genomic SSR are simple, highly polymorphic, reproducible, co-dominant in nature and relatively inexpensive. These characters make them highly usable molecular markers in among the crops and non crops like pea (Mishra *et al.* 2009), chickpea (Chaudhary *et al.* 2006), capsicum (Lee *et al.* 2004), medicago (Chu *et al.* 2010), wheat (Marion *et al.* 1998). Presently several number of genomic SSRs primer pairs are now developed in various medicinal plants like *Catharanthus* (Bhumika *et al.* 2010), *Artemisia* (Huang *et al.* 2010), *Isodon rubescens* (Harris and Klooster 2011), *Centella asiatica* (Rakotondralambo *et al.* 2012). However in comparison with other economical crop plants the

numbers of SSR markers are not very high.

### ***Insilico based approach for development of Markers***

After the discovery of advanced sequencing methods genome sequencing, transcriptome sequencing or gene expression projects were started worldwide in different plants system as well as medicinal plants also. These thousands of sequences in the form of BAC (bacterial artificial chromosome) clones, ESTs (expressed sequence tags), full length cDNA clones and genes are publically available for research purposes mainly into three databases: GenBank in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; Sherry *et al.* 2001), the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) nucleotide sequence database and the DNA database bank of Japan (DDBJ). Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs) are a partial sequencing of cDNA libraries and can be used as a source of information for various types of research work. The cDNAs sequences used for EST developments are individual clones from a cDNA library. These EST are developed from coding region of DNA and can represent transcribed part of genes in different tissue or organ at different developmental stages. Because of these features, ESTs have become a reliable and valuable source for developing molecular markers (Gupta *et al.* 2003, Graham *et al.* 2010). Insilico based methods tries to derive information of sequence data with the help of various bioinformatics software. Amongst conventional methods of marker development, Insilco approaches are very useful, much faster, cheaper and informatics for the molecular maker development. Already a number of software programs have been developed and implemented in several plants and successfully identified molecular markers from sequence data. A list of software and pipeline provided in table can be used to detect SSRs and SNPs markers from genomic sequences as well as in ESTs (Table 2).

Name of Software	Website address
Sputnik	<a href="http://espressoftware.com/pages/sputnik.jsp">http://espressoftware.com/pages/sputnik.jsp</a> <a href="http://cbi.labri.fr/outils/Pise/sputnik.html">http://cbi.labri.fr/outils/Pise/sputnik.html</a>
Tandem Repeat Finder(TRF)	<a href="http://tandem.bu.edu/trf/trf.html">http://tandem.bu.edu/trf/trf.html</a>
RepeatFinder	<a href="http://www.cbcb.umd.edu/software/RepeatFinder/">http://www.cbcb.umd.edu/software/RepeatFinder/</a>
REPEATMASKER	<a href="http://www.repeatmasker.org">http://www.repeatmasker.org</a> .
SciRoKo (SSR Classification and Investigation by Robert Kofler)	<a href="http://www.kofler.or.at/Bioinformatics">www.kofler.or.at/Bioinformatics</a>
SSRPrimer	<a href="http://hornbill.cspg.latrobe.edu.au">http://hornbill.cspg.latrobe.edu.au</a> <a href="http://acpfg.imb.uq.edu.au">http://acpfg.imb.uq.edu.au</a>
SSR Identification Tool (SSRIT)	<a href="http://www.gramene.org/db/searches/ssrtool">www.gramene.org/db/searches/ssrtool</a>
Tandem repeat Occurrence Locator (TROLL)	<a href="http://finder.sourceforge.net">http://finder.sourceforge.net</a>
SSR Poly	<a href="http://acpfg.imb.uq.edu.au/ssrpoly.php">http://acpfg.imb.uq.edu.au/ssrpoly.php</a>
MicroSatellite(MISA)	<a href="http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/">http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/</a>
Modified sputnik II	<a href="http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/ITMI/EST-SSR/LoRota">http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/ITMI/EST-SSR/LoRota</a>
SSRSEARCH	<a href="ftp://ftp.gramene.org/pub/gramene/software/scripts/ssr.pl">ftp://ftp.gramene.org/pub/gramene/software/scripts/ssr.pl</a>
Sorting intolerant from tolerant (SIFT);	<a href="http://blocks.fhcr.org/sift/SIFT.htm">http://blocks.fhcr.org/sift/SIFT.htm</a>
PolyBayes	<a href="http://bioinformatics.bc.edu/marthlab/PolyBayes">http://bioinformatics.bc.edu/marthlab/PolyBayes</a>
PolyPhred	<a href="http://droog.mbt.washington.edu">http://droog.mbt.washington.edu</a>
SNPDetector	<a href="http://lpg.nci.nih.gov/">http://lpg.nci.nih.gov/</a>
NovoSNP	<a href="http://www.molgen.ua.ac.be/bioinfo/novosnp/">http://www.molgen.ua.ac.be/bioinfo/novosnp/</a>
AutoSNP	<a href="http://acpfg.imb.uq.edu.au">http://acpfg.imb.uq.edu.au</a>
Polymorphism phenotyping	(PolyPhen; <a href="http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph/">http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph/</a> ;

MAPP tool (multivariate analysis of protein polymorphism)	<a href="http://mendel.stanford.edu/SidowLab/downloads/MAPP/index.html">http://mendel.stanford.edu/SidowLab/downloads/MAPP/index.html</a> ;
Prediction of amino acid replacement probability	Parepro; <a href="http://www.mobioinform.cn/parepro/">http://www.mobioinform.cn/parepro/</a> ; Parepro
SNPs3D	( <a href="http://www.snps3d.org/">http://www.snps3d.org/</a> )
large-scale human SNP annotated database	LS-SNP; <a href="http://modbase.compbio.ucsf.edu/LS-SNP/">http://modbase.compbio.ucsf.edu/LS-SNP/</a>
SNPeffect	<a href="http://snpeffect.vib.be">http://snpeffect.vib.be</a>

**Table 2: List of bioinformatics tools used for identification and analysis of SSR and SNP in plants and animals**

### (a) EST based SSR markers

Microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) or short tandem repeats (STRs) are tandem repeats of 1-6 base pairs of DNA. In compare to other neutral regions of DNA the microsatellites are more variable because of high rate to mutations due to slipped strand mispairing (slippage) during DNA replication (Yamazaki *et al.* 1994). Due to their high variation nature, microsatellites are highly polymorphic, multi-allelic, co-dominant nature, relative abundance, transferable between different laboratory and reproducible (Chen *et al.* 1999; Kapteyn *et al.* 2002). Two types of methods are now available for development of SSRS (a) conventional methods (b) insilico based approach. Conventional method is based on construction of a genomic library from DNA and then screened these clones for the identification of SSR repeat motifs by hybridization (Shokeen *et al.* 2005). In contrast to conventional methods *Insilco* method is based on available EST database. Several software packages have been developed to screen these EST-databases for detection of SSR repeats motifs (Table 2). After the development of EST-SSR primer pairs, they are widely adapted for mapping and transferability studies in different

model plant systems (Gupta *et al.* 2003).

Presently thousands of EST database, developed from different genotypes of plants are freely available on public domain (Table 3).

S. No.	Genus	Species name	No. of ESTs available
1	<i>Acacia</i>	<i>caesia</i>	9110
		<i>catechu</i>	
		<i>chundra</i>	
		<i>mangium</i>	
		<i>concinna</i>	
		<i>farnesiana</i>	
		<i>nilotica</i>	
		<i>sinuata</i>	
2	<i>Adhatoda</i>	<i>beddomei</i>	1780
		<i>vasica</i>	
		<i>zeylanica</i>	
3	<i>Adiantum</i>	<i>capillus veneris</i>	30546
		<i>lunulatum</i>	
		<i>philippense</i>	
		<i>venustum</i>	
4	<i>Allium</i>	<i>ampeloprasum</i>	43376
		<i>cepa</i>	
		<i>sativum</i>	
		<i>stracheyi</i>	
5	<i>Apium</i>	<i>graveolens</i>	2355
6	<i>Argemone</i>	<i>mexicana</i>	5488
7	<i>Aristolochia</i>	<i>bracteolata</i>	16451
		<i>indica</i>	
		<i>rotunda</i>	
		<i>tagala</i>	
8	<i>Artemisia</i>	<i>absinthium</i>	95021
		<i>annua</i>	
		<i>maritima</i>	
		<i>nilagirica</i>	
		<i>pallens</i>	
		<i>parviflora</i>	
9	<i>Berberis</i>	<i>aristata</i>	4978
		<i>canadensis</i>	
		<i>asiatica</i>	
		<i>chitria</i>	
		<i>lycium</i>	
		<i>tinctoria</i>	
		<i>umbellata</i>	

10	<i>Brassica</i>	<i>alba</i> <i>juncea</i>	1107563
11	<i>Cajanus</i>	<i>cajan</i>	25314
12	<i>Cannabis</i>	<i>sativa</i>	12903
13	<i>Carica</i>	<i>papaya</i>	85961
14	<i>Carthamus</i>	<i>tinctorius</i>	41584
15	<i>Catharanthus</i>	<i>roseus</i>	19910
16	<i>Centella</i>	<i>asiatica</i>	4443
17	<i>Cichorium</i>	<i>endivia</i>	84,149
18	<i>Citrullus</i>	<i>lanatus</i>	12503
19	<i>Citrus</i>	<i>acida</i> <i>aurantifolia</i> <i>aurantium</i> <i>bergamia</i> <i>limon</i> <i>medica</i> <i>reticulata</i>	603955
20	<i>Clematis</i>	<i>gauriana</i>	5199
21	<i>Coffea</i>	<i>arabica</i> <i>travancorensis</i>	174275
22	<i>Cucumis</i>	<i>melo</i> <i>sativus</i> <i>trigonus</i>	130006
23	<i>Cucurbita</i>	<i>maxima</i> <i>moschata</i>	852
24	<i>Daucus</i>	<i>Daucus carota</i>	18137
25	<i>Dioscorea</i>	<i>alata</i> <i>bulbifera</i> <i>deltoidea</i> <i>oppositifolia</i> <i>pentaphylla</i>	44134
26	<i>Erythrina</i>	<i>indica</i> <i>suberosa</i> <i>variegata</i>	726
27	<i>Eucalyptus</i>	<i>citriodora</i> <i>globulus</i>	168309
28	<i>Fumaria</i>	<i>indica</i> <i>officinalis</i> <i>parviflora</i>	4145
29	<i>Glycyrrhiza</i>	<i>uralensis</i> <i>glabra</i>	50666
30	<i>Gossypium</i>	<i>arboreum ----</i> <i>herbaceum</i> <i>hirsutum</i>	467736

31	<i>Helianthus</i>	<i>annuus</i>	133698
32	<i>Hippophae</i>	<i>rhamnoides</i>	3412
33	<i>Hordeum</i>	<i>vulgare</i>	525999
34	<i>Ipomoea</i>	<i>batatas</i>	88199
		<i>trifida</i>	
		<i>digitata</i>	
		<i>mauritiana</i>	
		<i>nil</i>	
		<i>obscura</i>	
		<i>pes-caprae</i>	
		<i>pes-tigridis</i>	
		<i>petaloidea</i>	
		<i>reptans</i>	
		<i>sepiaria</i>	
	<i>biloba</i>		
35	<i>Jatropha</i>	<i>curcas</i>	46947
36	<i>Linum</i>	<i>usitatissimum</i>	286852
37	<i>Macrotyloma</i>	<i>uniflorum</i>	1025
38	<i>Mangifera</i>	<i>indica</i>	1665
39	<i>Medicago</i>	<i>sativa</i>	12371
40	<i>Mentha</i>	<i>aquatic</i>	1634
		<i>arvensis</i>	
		<i>piperita</i>	
		<i>viridis</i>	
41	<i>Nelumbo</i>	<i>nucifera</i>	2207
42	<i>Nicotiana</i>	<i>Tabacum</i>	334384
43	<i>Ocimum</i>	<i>americanum</i>	23260
		<i>basilicum</i>	
		<i>gratissimum</i>	
		<i>kilimandscharicum</i>	
		<i>sanctum</i>	
		<i>tenuiflorum</i>	
44	<i>Oldenlandia</i>	<i>corymbosa</i>	1117
		<i>umbellata</i>	
45	<i>Panax</i>	<i>ginseng</i>	11412
		<i>pseudoginseng</i>	
46	<i>Pandanus</i>	<i>fascicularis</i>	977
47	<i>Papaver</i>	<i>somniferum</i>	20918
48	<i>Phaseolus</i>	<i>mungo</i>	391150
		<i>coccineus</i>	123988
		<i>vulgaris</i>	
		<i>radiatus</i>	

49	<i>Pistacia</i>	<i>chinensis</i>	1299
		<i>integerrima</i>	
		<i>lentiscus</i>	
		<i>vera</i>	
50	<i>Plumbago</i>	<i>indica</i>	1780
		<i>zeylanica</i>	
51	<i>Prunus</i>	<i>armeniaca</i>	114096
		<i>avium ----</i>	
		<i>cerasoides</i>	
		<i>dulcis</i>	
		<i>mahaleb</i>	
52	<i>Pueraria</i>	<i>lobata</i>	6365
		<i>tuberosa</i>	
53	<i>Punica</i>	<i>granatum</i>	787
54	<i>Rheum</i>	<i>australe</i>	898
		<i>emodi</i>	
		<i>moorcroftianum</i>	
		<i>palmatum</i>	
		<i>spiciforme</i>	
		<i>webbianum</i>	
55	<i>Rhododendron</i>	<i>catawbiense</i>	1241
		<i>campanulatum</i>	
		<i>lepidotum</i>	
56	<i>Ricinus</i>	<i>communis</i>	62592
57	<i>Saccharum</i>	<i>munja</i>	283640
		<i>officinarum</i>	
		<i>spontaneum</i>	
58	<i>Salvia</i>	<i>aegyptiaca</i>	11973
		<i>multiorrhiza</i>	
		<i>fruticosa</i>	
		<i>haematodes</i>	
		<i>moorcroftiana</i>	
		<i>plebeia</i>	
		<i>sclarea</i>	
59	<i>Saussurea</i>	<i>costus</i>	1897
		<i>hypoleuca</i>	
		<i>lappa</i>	
60	<i>Sesamum</i>	<i>indicum</i>	44722
		<i>orientale</i>	
61	<i>Setaria</i>	<i>italica</i>	66027
62	<i>Solanum</i>	<i>anguivi</i>	745876
		<i>melongena</i>	
		<i>nigrum</i>	
		<i>surattense</i>	

		<i>torvum</i>	
		<i>trilobatum</i>	
		<i>violaceum</i>	
		<i>virginianum</i>	
		<i>xanthocarpum</i>	
63	<i>Taraxacum</i>	<i>officinale</i>	41296
64	<i>Thalictrum</i>	<i>flavum</i>	4299
65	<i>Vigna</i>	<i>mungo</i> –	192440
		<i>radiata</i>	
		<i>unguiculata</i>	
		<i>vexillata</i>	
66	<i>Zingiber</i>	<i>officinal</i>	38115

**Table 3: No. of ESTs available for medicinal plants on public domain**

The availability of databases is providing rich resources for marker development. These data can be exploited for development of EST based SSR markers by using software based *In silico* analysis. The development method of SSR markers by Insilco analysis provides a better opportunity to understand the functional annotation of individual EST-sequence. This method is more preferable today because of several advantages (Scott *et al.*, 2000; Temnykh *et al.*, 2000) like they are co-dominant, highly transferable, computer based, need less time to development and more informative in comparison to 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> generation markers (Zane *et al.*, 2002). Also these EST-SSR markers provide an opportunity to identify or clone a gene when they show linkage with a trait of interest (Thiel *et al.*, 2003).

ESTs are developed from transcribed or coding region of genome therefore they have more conserve region in compare to genomic SSRs. Because of this phenomena EST based SSR marker have high transferability between different cross-species (Portis *et al.* 2007; Varshney *et al.* 2005). As reported earlier, genomic microsatellites are more polymorphic when compared to EST-SSRs. However, owing to their low cost, easy development and greater information regarding gene discovery and cross-species transferability, make EST-SSRs more preferable than genomic microsatellites. All these unique

characters make EST-SSRs more suitable for diversity, transferability and breeding-related studies in several less-studied plants (Mishra *et al.* 2011). A large number of EST-derived SSR primers have been reported in several economically and commercial crops including wheat, barley, brassica, peanut, chickpea, horticulture crops like capsicum, lettuce (Gupta *et al.* 2003, Thiel *et al.* 2003, Saha *et al.* 2004, Portis *et al.* 2007, Varshney *et al.* 2009, Mishra *et al.* 2012) and medicinal plants like Catharanthus, Artemisia, *Epimedium sagittatum* (Graham *et al.* 2010; Mishra *et al.* 2011; Shaohua *et al.* 2010).

### **(b) SNP markers**

Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) are one of the most important and commonly used molecular markers for studying evolution, functional mapping and complex genetic traits (Syvanen *et al.* 2001). SNP is a variation in DNA sequence reported when any single nucleotide (A, T, C or G) differs between same members of species of genome. Because of this single nucleotide variation SNP is providing an opportunity for extremely fine genetic mapping. Distribution of SNP in coding and non-coding region of genomes is not homogenous. It is reported that SNPs present in coding sequences are used to study the functional traits (Grivet *et al.* 2003). Several reasons have been identified for SNP variation in organism however the natural selection, recombination and mutations rate determine the density of SNP in genome. The polymorphism generated by SNP markers were based on variation in single nucleotide in DNA sequence, can be detected only by novel techniques and technology.

In contrast to previous molecular markers, SNPs cannot be resolved by conventional methods like agarose, metaphore and ployacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Many PCR and hybridization based techniques are expensive, laborious and

time consuming, however they have great tendency for improvement. On the basis of this strategies, several novel techniques were developed and successfully using for identification and detection of novel SNPs including sequenced genomes and next-generation sequencing technologies (Martin *et al.* 2009) capillary electrophoresis (Drabovich *et al.* 2006); mass spectrometry (Griffin *et al.* 2000); single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP); electrochemical analysis; denaturizing HPLC and gel electrophoresis, restriction fragment length polymorphism; hybridization analysis and Insilico based analysis. Similar to SSR, development of SNPs with experimental methods in laboratory are time consuming and expensive process. In contrast, Insilico based approach to identify potential SNPs from publicly available database makes the development of SNP markers more easy, fast and inexpensive. Presently several number of Insilco based pipelines have been available/developing to automatically identify SNPs in available sequences (Table 2). The PHRED/PHRAP/PolyBayes system (Marth *et al.* 1999; Le *et al.* 2004) is the most popular among the available pipeline because it has ability to identify paralogs with SNP detection (Useche *et al.* 2001; Picoult-Newberg *et al.* 1999). The other type of pipeline can detect only SNPs, such as autoSNP (Wang *et al.* 2005) and SNIpPER (Kota *et al.* 2003).

### **(c) ILP markers**

In eukaryotic genome, introns are non-coding sequence within a gene that is removed by RNA splicing during RNA maturation. Introns are widespread and constituted major part of eukaryotic (24% in human) Genomes. The number of introns depends on developmental complexity of the eukaryotic species in higher plants. Introns are not randomly scattered throughout the genomes but they are present on specific positions as a conserved region across the genome of same species, even in close related family. Previously it was assumed

that introns are non functional, unimportant sequences. However, now it is reported that Introns have little functional significance, encode specific proteins, may influence the level of gene expression, high variation in DNA sequences and more conserve nature. Because of these important features polymorphisms in introns can also be exploited as molecular markers.

Insilico based approach is a suitable method for analysis of polymorphism in Introns. For the detection of specific intron-based markers in ESTs sequences of crop and non-crop, model plant sequences use as a reference to predict intron positions and then designed a ILP primer pair from flanking exons using the PIP database (<http://ibi.zju.edu.cn/pgl/pip/>) (Yang *et al.* 2007). Intron length polymorphism markers successfully utilized in various plants system for genetic diversity, transferability and gene mapping (Co<sup>^</sup>rte-Real *et al.* 1994; Wydner *et al.* 1994; Gupta *et al.* 2011). These molecular markers have several advantages over conventional methods such as AFLPs, SSR, RFLPs or their modifications. Similarly with EST-SSR an ILP marker also has the following advantages such as neutral in nature, co-dominant, stable, convenient, reliable and high transferability between plant interspecies that can be used for various types of study.

#### **(d) MiRNA markers**

In recent years, scientists have focused their studies on small molecules RNA (MiRNA) because of their specific mode of action and participation in post-transcriptional gene regulation. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small endogenous, non-coding, single-stranded RNA molecules encoded in the genomes of all eukaryotes (Liu and Chen 2010). These small stretches of RNA sequences play key roles in biological processes like regulation of root and shoot development, leaf and stem development, signal transduction, floral differentiation, timing and development (Jones-Rhoades *et al.* 2006; Chuck *et al.* 2009).

These miRNA are also playing a major role in physiological process like defense against biotic and abiotic stress in palnst system. In recent studies, it was observed that these Plant miRNAs are usually evolutionary conserved, inherited stably and remain active in the progeny. Because of these unique features a miRNAs can be applicable to the breeding of hybrid crops (Warthmann *et al.* 2008; Qunig and Yue-Quin 2010). Recently in japonica and indica rice, agronomically applications of miRNA have been reported (Warthmann *et al.* 2008). This approach can play an important role in validation of the functions of putative genes that are responsible for advantageous agronomical traits. Consequently, with the development of miRNAs as molecular markers may be more appropriate promising methods for the identification of genes of interest in crops/non crops.

## **Conclusion**

After the discovery of several new advanced genomics tools in plant biology, our knowledge have enhanced on the genetics and production of secondary metabolites in medicinal important plants. In this direction one of the most important developments was molecular markers in plant breeding. Molecular markers not only improved our knowledge of the basic genetics that is important for secondary metabolites but also helped to development of genetic mapping, gene tagging, map based cloning and QTLs mapping. However several marker system are now available but progress remains slow due to high cost, labor intensive, time consuming and low availability of a number of molecular markers. These reasons are key factors for development of high quality map and improvement of quality and quantity of medicinal plants. However, after development of more advanced sequencing techniques, insilico based markers are now replacing conventional markers system. Approximately 65.9 million ESTs

are now available in public database covering several crops or non-crops. Developments of molecular markers from available resources are informative, polymorphic, low cost, and can be used in entire family because of high transferability. These databases are a rich source for markers development and increasing day by day with high throughout put sequencing technology. Sequencing based EST-SSR, SNP, ILP and miRNA markers can be developed within a timeframe with the help of available Insilco based tools. In several plant systems, Insilco based molecular markers like EST-SSR and SNP have been developed and successfully used however in case of medicinal plants very few studies are now reported. With the expansion of database and development of next generation sequencing technologies, there will be a rapid growth in markers for medicinal important plants and the use of these markers for diverse applications from crop breeding to predicting human disease risks, impacting both food production and human health for future generations.

\*BHG and RKM Contributed equally in this paper.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY:**

- Adams, R. P., R. N. Pandey, J. W. Leverenz, N. Digdar, K. Hoeghe, and T. Thorfinnsson. 2003. "Pan-Arctic variation in *Juniperus communis*: History biogeography based on DNA fingerprinting". *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 31: 181–192.
- Ahn, C.H., Y.S. Kim, S. Lim, J.S. Yi and Y.E. Choi. 2011. "Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis and RAPD-derived sequence characterized amplified regions (SCAR) marker development to identify Chinese and Korean ginseng". *J. of Medi. Plants Res.* 5: 4487-4492.

- Buhulikar, R.A., D. Stanculescu, C.A. Preston, and I.T. Baldwin. 2004. "ISSR and AFLP analyses of the temporal and spatial population structure of the post-fire annual *Nicotiana attenuate* in SW, Utah". *BMC Ecol.* 4: 1-13.
- Chen, Y., S. Bai, K. Cheng, S. Zhang, and L. Nian, 1999. "Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis on *Curcuma wenuujin* and *C. sichuanensis*". *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi.* 24: 131-133.
- Choudhary, S., N. K. Sethy, B. Shokeen, and S. Bhatia. 2006. "Development of sequence tagged microsatellite site markers for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)". *Mol. Ecology Not.* 6: 93-95.
- Chu, H.J., J. Yan, Y. Hu, H.C. Wang, and J.Q. Li. 2010. "Cross-species amplification of 92 microsatellites of *Medicago truncatula*". *Mol Ecol Resour.* 10:150-5.
- Chuck, G., H. Candela, and S. Hake. 2009. "Big impacts by small RNAs in plant development". *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 12:81-6.
- Corte-Real, H. B. S. M., D. R. Dixon, and P. W. H. Holland. 1994. "Intron-targeted PCR: a new approach to survey neutral DNA polymorphism in bivalve populations". *Mar. Biol.*, 120, 407-413.
- Drabovich, A.P., and S.N. Krylov. 2006. "Identification of base pairs in single-nucleotide polymorphisms by MutS protein-mediated capillary electrophoresis." *Analytical chem.* 78(6): 2035-8
- Farooqui, N., S. A. Ranade, and P. V. Sane. 1998. "Rapid profile variation amongst provenances of neem". *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Int.*, 45: 931-939.
- Farnsworth, N. R. and D. D. Soejarto. 1991. "Global importance of medicinal plants. In The conservation of medicinal plants (ed. O. Akerele, V. Heywood and H. Syngé)". *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.* 25-51.
- Ferreira, J.F.S. and S.O. Duke. 1997. "Approaches for

- maximizing biosynthesis of medicinal plant secondary metabolites”. *AgBiotech News and Inform.* 9, 309N–315N.
- Friesen, N., and F. R. Blattner. 2000. “RAPD analysis reveals geographic differentiations within *Allium schoenoprasum* L. (Alliaceae)”. *Plant Biol.* 2: 297–305.
- Friesen, N., R. M. Fritsch, and K. Bachmann. 1997. “Hybrid origin of some ornamentals of *Allium* subgenus *Melanocrommyum* verified with GISH and RAPD”. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 95: 1229–1238.
- Fu, R.Z., J. Wang, Y.B. Zhang, Z.T. Wang, P.P. But, N. Li, and P.C. Shaw. 1999. “Differentiation of medicinal *Codonopsis* species from adulterants by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism”. *Planta Med.* 65: 648–650.
- Graham, I.A., K. Besser, S. Blumer, C.A. Branigan, T. Czechowski, L. Elias, I. Guterman, D. Harvey, P.G. Isaac, A.M. Khan, T.R. Larson, Y. Li, T. Pawson, T. Penfield, A.M. Rae, D.A. Rathbone, S. Reid, J. Ross, M.F. Smallwood, V. Segura, T. Townsend, D. Vyas, T. Winzer, and D. Bowles. 2010. “The Genetic Map of *Artemisia annua* L. Identifies Loci Affecting Yield of the Antimalarial Drug Artemisinin”. *Science* 327:328-331.
- Griffin, T.J. and L.M. Smith. 2000. "Genetic identification by mass spectrometric analysis of single-nucleotide polymorphisms: ternary encoding of genotypes". *Analytical chem.* 72(14): 3298–302.
- Grivet, L., J.C. Glaszmann, M. Vincentz, S. Fd, and P. Arruda. 2003. “ESTs as a source for sequence polymorphism discovery in sugarcane: example of the Adh genes”. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106: 190-197.
- Gupta, P.K., S. Rustgi, S. Sharma, R. Singh, N. Kumar, and H. S. Balyan, 2003. “Transferable EST-SSR markers for the study of polymorphism and genetic diversity in bread wheat”. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 270: 315-323.

- Gupta, S., K. Kumari, J. Das, C. Lata, S. Puranik, and M. Prasad. 2011. "Development and utilization of novel intron length polymorphic markers in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) P. Beauv.)". *Genome* 54: 586–602.
- Gupta, P.K., H.S. Balyan, P.C. Sharma, and B. Ramesh. 1996. "Microsatellites in plants: a new class of molecular markers". *Curr. Sci.* 70: 45–54.
- Gupta, P.K., S. Rustgi, S. Sharma, R. Singh, N. Kumar, and H.S. Balyan. 2003. "Transferable EST-SSR markers for the study of polymorphism and genetic diversity in bread wheat". *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 270:315–323.
- Gupta, P.K., and R.K. Varshney. 2000. "The development and use of microsatellite markers for genetics and plant breeding with emphasis on bread wheat". *Euphytica* 113:163–185.
- Gupta, P.K., J.K. Roy, and M. Prasad. 2001. "Single nucleotide polymorphisms: a new paradigm for molecular marker technology and DNA polymorphism detection with emphasis on their use in plants". *Curr. Sci.* 80:524–535.
- Gupta, P.K., and R.K. Varshney. 2004. Cereal genomics: an overview In: Gupta PK, Varshney RK (eds) Cereal genomics. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, p 639.
- Gupta, S., and M. Prasad. 2009. "Development and characterization of genic SSR markers in *Medicago truncatula* and their transferability in leguminous and non-leguminous species". *Genome* 52:761-771.
- Gupta, S., S.P. Rai, S. Srivastava, S. C. Naithani, M. Prasad, and S. Kumar. 2007. "Construction of genetic linkage map of the medicinal and ornamental plant *Catharanthus roseus*". *J. Genet.* 86(3):259-68.
- Harris, E.S., and M.R. Klooster. 2011. "Development of microsatellite markers for the medicinal plant *Isodon rubescens* (Lamiaceae) and related species: *Am J Bot.* 98(10):e293-5.

- Huang, L., X. Caixiang, D. Baozhong, and C. Shilin. 2010. Mapping the potential distribution of high artemisinin-yielding *Artemisia annua* L. (Qinghao) in China with a geographic information system. *Chin Med.* 5: 18.
- Jain, A., R. Ariyadasa, A. Kumar, M. N. Srivastava, M. Mohan, and S. Nair. 2004. "Tagging and mapping of a rice gall midge resistance gene, *Gm8*, and development of SCARs for use in marker-aided selection and gene pyramiding". *Theor Appl Genet.* 109:1377–1384.
- Jain, N., A. K. Shasany, S. Singh, S. P. S. Khanuja, and S. Kumar. 2010. "SCAR Markers for Correct Identification of *Phyllanthus amarus*, *P. fraternus*, *P. debilis* and *P. urinaria* used in Scientific Investigations and Dry Leaf Bulk Herb Trade". *Fitoterapia* 8:969–976.
- Jain, S.M., D.S. Brar, and B.S. Ahloowalia. 2002. "Molecular techniques in crop improvement". Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands
- Jones-Rhoades, M.W., D.P. Bartel, and B. Bartel. 2006. "MicroRNAs and their regulatory roles in plants". *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 57:19–53
- Kate, K.T. and S.A. Laird. 1999. "The Commercial Use of Biodiversity", *Earthscan*.
- Kapteyn, J., B. Goldsbrough, and Simon, E. 2002. "Genetic relationships and diversity of commercially relevant Echinacea species". *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 105: 369-376
- Kojoma, M., O. Iida, Y. Makino, S. Sekita, and M. Satake. 2002. "DNA fingerprinting of *Cannabis sativa* using inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) amplification". *Planta Med.* 68: 60–63.
- Kota, R., S. Rudd, A. Facius, G. Kolesov, T. Thiel, H. Zhang, N. Stein, K. Mayer, and A. Graner. 2003. Snipping polymorphisms from large EST collections in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Mol. Gen. Genomics* 270: 24-33.
- Lee, J.M., S.H. Nahm, Y.M. Kim, and B.D. Kim. 2004. "Characterization and molecular genetic mapping of

- microsatellite loci in pepper". *Theor Appl Genet.*108:619-27
- Le, D.L., D. Chagne, D. Pot, O. Cantin, P. Garnier-Gere, F. Bedon, J.M. Frigerio, P. Chaumeil, P. Leger, V. Garcia, F. Legrait, A. de Daruvar, and C. Plomion. 2004. "Automated SNP detection in expressed sequence tags: statistical considerations and application to maritime pine sequences". *Plant Mol Biol* 54:461-470.
- Liu, Q., and Y.Q. Chen. 2009. "Insights into the mechanism of plant development: interactions of miRNAs pathway with phytohormone response". *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 384:1-5.
- Marion, S.R., V. Korzun, K. Wendehake, J. Plaschke, M.H. Tixier, P. Leroy, and M.W. Ganal. 1998. "A Microsatellite Map of Wheat". *Genetics*149: 2007-2023.
- Martin, E.R. et al. 2010. "SeqEM: an adaptive genotype-calling approach for next-generation sequencing studies". *Bioinform.* 26: 2803-2810.
- Marth, G.T., I. Korf, M.D. Yandell, R.T. Yeh, Z. Gu, H. Zakeri, N.O. Stitzel, L. Hillier, P.Y. Kwok, and W.R. Gish. 1999. "A general approach to single-nucleotide polymorphism discovery". *Nat Genet* 23:452-456.
- Mauro, R., E. Portis, A. Acquadro, S. Lombardo, G. Mauromicale, and S. Lanteri. 2009. "Genetic diversity of globe artichoke landraces from Sicilian small-holdings: implications for evolution and domestication of the species". *Conserv Genet.* 10, 431-440.
- Mishra, R. K., A. Kumar, S. Chaudhary, and S. Kumar, 2009. "Mapping of the multifoliate pinna (mfp) leaf blade morphology mutation in grain pea *Pisum sativum*". *J. Genet.* 88, 227-232.
- Mishra, R. K., B. H. Gangadhar, J. W. Yu, D. H. Kim, and S. W. Park. 2011. "Development and characterization of EST based SSR markers in Madagascar periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus*) and their transferability in other

- medicinal plants". *Plant Omics J.* 4, 154-162.
- Mullis, K.B., and F.A. Faloon. 1987. "Specific synthesis of DNA in vitro via a polymerase-catalyzed chain reaction". *Methods Enzymol.* 155:335-50.
- Peters, M.D., Q.Y. Xiang, D.T. Thomas, J. Stucky, and N.K. Whiteman. 2009. "Genetic analyses of the federally endangered *Echinacea laevigata* using amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP)-Inferences in population genetic structure and mating system". *Conserv Genet.* 10, 1-14.
- Picoult-Newberg, L., T.E. Ideker, M.G. Pohl, S.L. Taylor, M.A. Donaldson, D.A. Nickerson, and M. Boyce-Jacino. 1999. "Mining SNPs from EST databases". *Genome Res* 9: 167-174.
- Portis, E., I. Nagy, Z. Sasva, A. Stigelri, L. Barchi, and S. Lanteri. 2007. "The design of Capsicum spp. SSR assays via analysis of In silico DNA sequence, and their potential utility for genetic mapping". *Plant Sci.* 172:640-648.
- Qing, L., and C. Yue-Qin. 2010. "A new mechanism in plant engineering: The potential roles of microRNAs in molecular breeding for crop improvement". *Biotechnol. Adv.* 28:301-307.
- Raddova, J., M. Baranek, I. Oukropec, M. Vachum, and M. Pidra. 2003. "RAPD analysis of peaches within Czech national collection". *Czech. J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 39: 113-119.
- Rogstad, S.H., and S. Pelikan. 1996. "GELSTATS: a computer program for population genetics analyses using VNTR multilocus probe data". *Biotechnol.* 21(6):1128-31.
- Rogstad, S.H. 1993. "Surveying plant genomes for variable number of tandem repeat loci". *Meth Enzymol.* 224: 278-294.
- Rogstad, S.H. 1994. "Inheritance in turnip of variable-number tandem-repeat genetic markers revealed with synthetic

- repetitive DNA probes". *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 89:824-80.
- Rakotondralambo, S.O., A. Lussert, R. Rivallan, P. Danthu, J.L. Noyer, and F.C. Baurens. 2012. "Microsatellite markers isolated from the wild medicinal plant *Centella asiatica* (Apiaceae) from an enriched genomic library". *Am J Bot.* 99(4):e176-8.
- Sambrook, J., E.F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. "Molecular cloning: A laboratory Manual. II edn". Cold Spring Harbour Laborat. Press, Cold Spring Harbour, N.Y
- Saha, M. C., M. A. R. Mian, I. Eujayl, J. C. Zwonitzer, L. J. Wang, and G. D. May. 2004. "Tall fescue EST-SSR markers with transferability across several grass species". *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 109, 783-791.
- Scott, K. D., P. Eggler, G. Seaton, M. Rossetto, E. M. Ablett, L. S. Lee, and R. J. Henery, 2000: "Analysis of SSRs derived from grape ESTs". *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 100, 723-726.
- Shan, F., H.C. Clarke, J.A. Plummer, G. Yan, and K.H.M. Siddique. 2005. "Geographical patterns of genetic variation in the world collections of wild annual *Cicer* characterized by amplified fragment length polymorphisms". *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 110, 381-391
- Shokeen, B., N. K. Sethy, S. Kumar, and S. Bhatia. 2007. "Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers for analysis of molecular variation in the medicinal plant Madagascar periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus* (L.) G. Don)". *Plant Sci.* 172, 441-451.
- Sherry, S.T., et al. 2001. "dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation". *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 308-311.
- Shaohua, Z., G. Xiao, J. Guo, Z. Fei, Y. Xu, B.A. Roe, and Y. Wang. 2010. "Development of a EST dataset and characterization of EST-SSRs in a traditional Chinese medicinal plant, *Epimedium sagittatum* (Sieb. Et Zucc.) Maxim". *BMC Genom.* 11:94.
- Shasany, A. K., A. K. Kukreja, D. Saikia, M. P. Darokar, S. P.

- S. Khanuja, and Kumar, S. 1999. *PGR Newsl.*, 121: 27–31.
- Shasany, A.K., M. P. Darokar, S. Dhawan, A. K. Gupta, S. Gupta, A. K. Shukla, N. K. Patra, and S. P. S. Khanuja. 2005. “Use of RAPD and AFLP markers to identify inter- and intraspecific hybrids of menthe”. *Journal of heredity* 96(5):542–549.
- Shokeen, B., N.K. Sethy, S. Choudhary and S. Bhatia. 2005. “Development of STMS markers from the medicinal plant Madagascar periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus*(L.) G. Don.)”. *Mole. Ecology Notes.* 5, 818–820.
- Singh, A., M.S. Negi, V.K. Moses, B. Venkateswarlu, P.S. Srivastava, and M. Lakshmikumaran. 2002. “Molecular analysis of micropropagated neem plants using AFLP markers for ascertaining clonal fidelity”. *In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. Plant* 38:519–524.
- Srivastava, S., and N. Mishra. 2009. “Genetic Markers - A Cutting-Edge Technology in Herbal Drug Research”. *J. Chemi. and Pharmaceut. Res.* 1 (1): 1-18.
- Syvanen, A.C. 2001. “Accessing genetic variation: genotyping single nucleotide polymorphisms”. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2: 930-942.
- Temnykh, S., W.D. Park, N. Ayres, S. Cartinhour, N. Hauck, L. Lipovich, Y.G. Cho, T. Ishii and S.R. McCouch. 2000. “Mapping and genome organization of microsatellite sequences in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)”. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 100:697-712.
- Thiel, T., W. Michalek, R. K. Varshney, and A. Graner. 2003. “Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)”. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106, 411-422.
- Thoquet, P., M. Ghérardi, E.P. Journet, A. Kereszt, J.M. Ané, J.M. Prospero, and T. Huguet. 2002. “The molecular genetics linkage map of the model legume *Medicago truncatula*: an essential tool for comparative legume

- genomics and the isolation of agronomically important genes”. *BMC Plant Bio.* 2:1
- Useche, F.J., G. Gao, M. Harafey, and A. Rafalski. 2001. High-throughput identification, database storage and analysis of SNPs in EST sequences. *Genome Inform* 12: 194-203.
- Varshney, R. K., A. Graner, and M. E. Sorrells. 2005. “Genic microsatellite markers: their characteristics, development and application to plant breeding and genetics”. *Trends Biol.* 23, 48-55.
- Varshney, R. K., P. J. Hiremath, P. Lekha, J. Kashiwagi, J. Balaji, A. A. Deokar, V. Vadez, Y. Xiao, R. Srinivasan, P. M. Gaur, K. H. Siddique, C. D. Town, and D. A. Hoisington. 2009. “A comprehensive resource of drought- and salinity- responsive ESTs for gene discovery and marker development in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)”. *BMC Genom.* 10, 523-541.
- Veronese, P. et al. 2001. “Bioengineering mint crop improvement”. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 64:133–144.
- Wang, J., and X. Huang. 2005. “A method for finding single-nucleotide polymorphisms with allele frequencies in sequences of deep coverage”. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7:220.
- Weising, K., D. Kaemmer, F. Weigand, J.T. Epplen, and G. Kahl. 1992. “Oligonucleotide fingerprinting reveals various probe-dependent levels of informativeness in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*)”. *Genome.* 35: 436-442.
- Weising, K., R.G. Atkinson, and R.C. Gardner. 1995. “Genomic fingerprinting by microsatellite-primed PCR: a critical evaluation”. *Genome Res.* 4: 249–255.
- Williams, J.G., A.R. Kubelik, K.J. Livak, J.A. Rafalski, and S.V. Tingey. 1990. “DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers”. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 18, 6531–6535.
- Warthmann, N., H. Chen, S. Ossowski, D. Weigel, and P. Herve. 2008. “Highly specific gene silencing by artificial

- miRNAs in rice". *PLoS ONE*, 3, e1829
- Wydner, K. S., J. L. Sechler, and C. D. Boyd, et al. 1994. "Use of an intron length polymorphism to localize the tropoelastin gene to chromosome 5 in a region of linkage conservation with human chromosome 7". *Genomics* 23, 125–131.
- WHO. 2005. "WHO Global Atlas of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine". World Health Organization. Geneva. 1 and 2.
- World Health Organization. 2003. Good Manufacturing Practices for pharmaceutical products: main principles. In: WHO Expert Committee on Specifications for Pharmaceutical Preparations. Thirty-seventh report. WHO Technical Report Series, No. 908, Annex 4., Geneva.
- Yamazaki, M., A. Sato, K. Shimomura, K. Saito, and I. Murakoshi, 1994. "Genetic relationships among Glycyrrhiza plants determined by RAPD and RFLP analyses". *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 17: 529-1531.
- Zane, L., L. Bargelloni, and T. Patarnello. 2002. "Strategies for microsatellite isolation: a review". *Mol. Ecol.* 11:1-16.
- Zhang, J.T., B. Xu and M. Li. 2010. "Genetic diversity of populations of an endangered medicinal plant species (*Glycyrrhiza uralensis*) in different environments of North China". *J. of Medici. Plants Res.* 4:830-836.