

Impact Factor: 3.4546 (UIF) DRJI Value: 5.9 (B+)

Appraisal of Ancient Sewage Systems with Special Focus on Developmental Stages and Application of Geographical Knowledge

Dr. AVINASH KADAM Assistant Professor

Assistant Professor School of Earth Sciences, SRTM University Nanded, India VIDHYATAI PATIL Research Scholar School of Earth Sciences, SRTM University Nanded, India

Abstract:

We have strong ancient historical base about the innovations. Many ancient civilizations, sites and monuments have the examples that have providing such evidences. For the evaluation of the same, many scholars from different disciplines have worked a lot. The present paper is mainly devoting to assess such innovations in the context of sewage system. By selecting five ancient civilizations i.e. Mesopotamia (4000-2500 BCE), Indus Valley (3000-2000 BCE), Minoan (3000-100 BCE), Egypt (2000-500 BCE) and Roman (800 BCE- 300 CE), the present paper deals with in-depth and spatiotemporal analyses of sewage system with special focuses on applied skills and techniques for wastewater management. The present appraisal mainly depends upon the previous published works, regarding with research problem. The research papers and articles from reputed journals, reports of recognized international institute, reference and edited book, authentic websites etc have been analyzed for present investigation. It is concluded that in that ancient era, they had quit familiar with scientific streams like geometry, gravity,

measurements, scales, geological and geographical aspects, process of decomposition, infiltration and evaporation, etc. In short, even now a day we have number of sewage related issues. That means we have not been understood, well from our ancient historical innovations.

Key words: Sewage system, Sewer, Pit Toilet, Flushed Toilet, Cesspit Toilet, Geographical Knowledge

1 INTRODUCTION

Development is the process of discovery and application of knowledge. Availability, necessities and curiositv of understanding are the basic elements which promote the innovations towards progress. On the basis of this principle, human life changed from nomadic to modern urbanized style (Kadam et al, 2002). It reveals the history of life transformation from individual to community (Shingote and Kadam, 2006). This journey has the creations and modifications of residential style, food type and socio-cultural aspects etc (Wilkinson, 2000). Many ancient civilizations discovered such things, mainly on the basis of knowledge regarding geography (Raikes and Dyson, 1961; Possehl, 1967; Allchin, 1976), in addition of engineering (Eddy, 1932; Oates, 1990), architecture (DeLaine, 1990; Roaf, 1995; Manzoor and Seung, 2013; Khan and Lemmen, 2014), urban planning (Hoyt, 1962; Jansen, 1985; Kenoyer, 2003) etc at individual to whole civilization. The ruins of ancient civilization have been displaying the picture of historical human life style and their knowledge behind such innovations (Corrigon, 1932). On the basis of these evidences and pervious literature, the present investigation aims to discuss and draw a developmental route map of ancient sewage system. Many archeological excavations discovered the organized water supply and wastewater management systems (Angelakis and Koutsoyiannis, 2003; Koutsoyiannis and Angelakis, 2003;

Dooge, 2004; Kaphale et al, 2005; Agelakis et al, 2006; Mays et al, 2007; Mays, 2008; Koutsoyiannis et al, 2008; Zarkadoulas et al, 2008; Feo et al, 2013; Voudouris et al, 2013; Juuti et al, 2015). As stated by Kadam and Saptarshi (1999) that even in the recent past, local geographical aspects have been affecting overall development of the human life style. By selecting five ancient civilizations i.e. Mesopotamia (4000-2500 BCE), Indus Valley (3000-2000 BCE), Minoan (3000-100 BCE), Egypt (2000-500 BCE) and Roman (800 BCE- 300 CE), the present paper deals with in-depth and spatio-temporal analyses of sewage system with special focuses on applied skills and techniques for wastewater management.

2 OBJECTIVES

Followings basic objective have been selected for the present study.

- To review concern previous literature for the basic study of the selected five ancient civilizations.
- To understand the geographical characteristics of the civilizations.
- To enlist ancient innovations related to sewage system of each selected civilization.
- To assess the geographical knowledge, skills and scientific techniques behind the construction of sewage system.

3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present appraisal mainly depends upon the previous published works, regarding with research problem. The research papers and articles from reputed journals, reports of recognized international institute, reference and edited book, authentic websites etc have been analyzed for present investigation. This study tries to find out the developmental

route map of the complete sewage system and geographical knowledge behind the innovations.

4 STUDY AREAS

The selected five ancient civilizations had been emerged around the world with spatio-temporal variations. Figure 1 has devoting to show the geographical locations of these selected civilizations with present context. The Mesopotamia, Indus and Egypt have been recognized as river valley civilizations. Geographically, they have fertile agricultural lands enclosed with mountains, deserts and oceans. The land between the rivers of Euphrates and Tigris was the birth place of Mesopotamian civilization. Today, this ancient land acquired by Iraq, Iran and Syrian countries. The most sites of Indus Valley civilization discovered in the Pakistan and some of in western India and Afghanistan. This civilization developed along the Indus River and its tributaries. The third ancient civilization had also grown alongside of river Nile, at present situated in Egypt.



The ancient Greek civilizations come to exist on mountain island of Crete i.e. Minoan and slowly ruled over the entire basin of Mediterranean sea at the time of Roman emperor. The Roman region observed from northern England to southern Egypt and from Atlantic coast to Peninsular Gulf.

5 RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

According to many scholars like Tzanakakis et al (2006), Tseropoulos et al (2013), Angelakis et al (2013), Angelakis and Zheng (2015) and others, such ancient civilizations and their present ruins have been providing the evidences, showing the proofs about the stages, structures and planning of such residential construction mainly related to the sewage system. It also gives basic idea about their knowledge, skills, techniques and scientific base behind these activities (Angelakis, 2005).

In the present paper, step by step development of sewage system i.e. from individual to public toilet and sewer system from small scale to whole civilization, have discussed with the examples and mainly on the basis of authentic secondary literature. The whole system has been divided into basic four stages with their sub points for the present discussions. The main emphasis of the present study has consecrated on the knowledge, skills, techniques, scientific base, geographical approach etc behind these creations, which is discussed in the end.

5.1 The system of toilet and bathroom

The system of closed toilet is an important alternative for open defecation, which supports to environment and health protection (Lovell, 1997). The approach towards closed toilet system emerged with first settlement of the world i.e. Mesopotamian (Lafrona and Brown, 2010). Afterwards, it spread to other such ancient civilizations of the world and

applied with different kinds of techniques, methods, utensils, equipments, tools etc as discussed below.

5.1.1 Toilet Pot

At the initial stage of civilization, they have been applied manual cleanup system for disposal of the human wastes. In this method, human waste collects into the pot (Figure 2) and carried outside the houses for disposal (Aytac, 2004). Such kinds of method found in Mesopotamia at the early stage of civilization. This system had also observed in Egypt civilization (Genc, 2009). They had been used stool shaped toilet seat of limestone or wood, which had hole on top of the surface (Urruty, 1997). The clay pot filled with sand, placed under the stool for collection the waste and manually it dumped outside the house (Bond et al, 2013; EI-Gohary, 2014).



In case of Roman civilization, because of the tenement housing system, they used common tub for collection the waste. In addition to this, for collection of the waste from individual houses by common tub, they used trolley system for carrying material from all the houses. The common tub or trolley had empted manually at the open area or mound of other wastes (Taylor, 2005; Brown and Lofarna, 2005).

5.1.2 Pit Toilet system

The dry or less water used system of pit toilet observed in ancient Mesopotamian civilization (Figure 3). In this method, the big sized porous clay pipe had been constructed in the underground dug pit (McMahon, 2015).



The porous nature used for the purpose of infiltration of the liquid and part of solid material into the earth. The size of pit depends upon the number of inhabitance in house (Feo et al, 2014). After such pit toilet system, the manual head loading and carrying system had been minimized in the civilization. Because of other innovations in these eras, the pit toilet system has not been observed in other civilizations.

5.1.3 Flushed Toilet System

The system of sloped drain toilet, cesspit toilet and flushed toilet have the creations of Mesopotamia, Indus and Minoan civilization (Gray, 1940). This was the idea or technique applied for flushing out the human waste by water. In flushed toilet system, indoor lavatories constructed between the outer wall of the houses and street drain line, which had the prime innovation of Mesopotamia (McMahon, 2015). The solid and liquid material directly dumped into the main sewer line by the tilted clay pipe (Figure 4). For flushing the roof rain water and courtyard wastewater have also been attached with clay pipe to the toilet sewer line (Molloer and Molloer, 2009).



In case of Minoan water flushed toilet system, they had used rectangular sized stone toilet seat. It had hole on the top of the surface. The horizontal pipe for Manual and vertical pipe for automatic flushing had been attached in the system. They had also used steps for increasing pressure by slope with minimum length, which was helpful for speedily flush (Figure 5). It also used for imitation of unwanted gasses developed in the wastes (Hutchinson, 1950).



5.1.4 Cesspit Toilet System

The cesspit toilet system and modern typed Septic Tank Toilet system has very much similarities. It means modern septic tank system have great ancient history from Indus valley civilization. The indoor lavatories constructed next to the outer wall of house and near to the street drain line. The toilet seat EUROPEAN ACADEMIC RESEARCH - Vol. IV, Issue 3/ June 2016

constructed with fired or sundried brick (Khan, 2014). The waste material collected throw vertical hole and drain into the pit or cesspit (Figure 6).



This cesspit tank or pit placed next to the outer wall of toilet (Ratnagar, 2014). The rounded big clay container or brick constructed pit had been used for this system (Webster, 1962). The solid materials settle at bottom and liquid wastewater flow from the outlet of pit into the main sewage line via clay pipe or small brick sewer. They had combine lavatories system, in which the wastewater from bathroom attached with toilet. Other domestic wastewater had also been collected into the pit (Foil et al, 1993).

5.1.5 Bathroom and bathtub

The ancient traditions had also have combine system of bathroom and toilet. It had been observed in Indus civilization particularly (Fitzsimons, 1970). The toilet place was arranged at the corner of the big sized room and the rest of the area had been utilized as a bathroom. The surface of the whole room had toward the corner, where the toilet system constructed. In short, the wastewater of bathroom has also been used for wash and flushes the toilet and human waste respectively (Figure 7). In case of the Minoan and Egypt, bathtub system had also been placed in lavatories (Lechner, 2011).



5.2 Public toilet and Bath system

The system of public toilet emerged under the concept of sanitation for poor people and for traveler by Indus and Roman civilization respectively (Vourinen et al, 2007). In Indus public toilet system, a line of brick toilet seats constructed upon a pit as shown in Figure 8. In Roman public latrine system, stone toilet seat had been constructed on a sewer line (Antoniou, 2010; Khan, 2014).



Such rounded cut toilet seats were arranged one after next, at a regular interval without wall or barrier (Figure 9). The waste, collected in sewer line drain out by continuous water supply and the wastewater of bathroom (Bond et al, 2013; Feo et al, 2014). The sewer had been connected with main sewer line of the city.



They had also been constructed public bath system as like a modern swimming pool i.e. Grate Bath in Mohen-Jodaro and Baths at Bath, Landon in Roman era. In Grate Bath system, the clean water filled by hand from adjacent well. The wastewater drain out through sloppy outlet channel connects with main sewer line of city civilization (Jansen, 1989).

5.3 Sewer line

In ancient civilizations, different kinds of sewer lines utilized for drain out the domestic wastewater. The artifact, stone cutting and shaping, construction etc techniques had been used for creation of usable sized and shaped tools, utensils, material etc for sewers as described below:

5.3.1 Clay Pipe and their joints

According to archeological findings, the skeletons of clay pipes in different shapes have been excavated in ancient civilizations like 'U' shaped, closed or cylindrical, half rounded or circular, rectangular etc. Such kinds of handmade clay pipes had been used to drain out the wastewater from kitchen, washing and bathing places, rain water from roof, courtyard etc to the main sewer line (Angelakis et al, 2012; Angelakis et al, 2014; Tamburrino, 2010). In addition to this, it had also been used in construction of toilet and bathroom. These civilizations mainly

Mesopotamia, have also been creator of clay joints i.e. connected joints, Knees and T-joints as showing in figure 9 (Brown and Lofarna, 2005).

5.3.2 Stone sewer line

The art of stone carving had been used for creation of stone sewer in ancient Minoan and Roman civilization. The Minoan had underground main sewer line, which was constructed by using shaped stones (Angelakis et al, 2005; Angelakis et al, 2012).

The Cloaca Maxima is the well-known bigger sewage system introduced by Romans around 600 BEC (Amulree, 1973). This sewer line constructed along the street and covered with cemented flat stones. It had holes on vertical wall of sewer and horizontal inlet, purpose for collection of storm water and the dumped human waste from cleaning program of roads. Sometimes, this main sewer line connected with private and public latrine, finally empted into the river (Taylor, 2005; Bertrand-Krajewski, 2008; Taylor, 2015). Such types of underground sewer had also been constructed at Pompeii, the ancient Roman city. But it had not been fully constructed throughout the city (Delleur, 2003). They had also been used half rounded stones for sewer line along the road side

5.3.3 Constructed sewer

The sewer line constructed by brick mainly observed in cities of Mesopotamia and Indus. Such types of main sewer lines had been constructed along the roads in the entire settlement (Fitzsimons, 1970). These sewer lines had covered with bricks, flat stones and clay plates (Mughal, 2011).

5.4 System of Maintenance

In ancient management system, variety of skills employed for convenient flow of sewer lines i.e. removable covered system, big size sewer construction, pit system (Webster, 1962;

Ratnagar, 2014), vertical and horizontal manhole covers (Lafrona and Brown, 2010) etc. The physical effort had been mainly devoted in such kinds of applications. The works related to the maintenance like remove the solid and sludge material from the sewer, collection and dumping of solid waste, construction of new sewer line and maintain the old lines were the basic responsibilities of ancient municipal ruler. The Mesopotamia, Indus, Minoan and Roman had such type of legislative facilities.

6 INNOVATIONS, DISCOVERIES AND GEOGRAPHICAL KNOWLEDGE

This is an ancient history of origin and developmental stages of urban sewage system. It is not only reveals the discoveries but also present the basic understanding and adjusting with surrounded environment. This is a narration of experimental expansion of sewage system from houses to whole settlements. It is necessary to assess the technical skills and geographical understanding behind such transformation.

6.1 Problem with Open Defecation and Closed Toilet System

The main reasons behind the origin of indoor lavatories system are to protect from wild animals, natural hazards, climatic changes etc. Such facilities had been changed and modified with issues of environment and personal health. Well reorganized and modified structures from palaces had been followed for the domestic level according to their social status and professional position. The development moved toward minimization of human efforts for management of such wastes. In this attempt, they have been recognized and applied the association of the slope and water flow for successful drain out the human waste from living areas.

6.2 Mixing and Molding of Clay

The various types of clay tools i.e. fired and sun-dried bricks, pots, different sized and shaped pipes, their joints, containers etc used for the successful drain out of the sewage from residential zones. Its means, they had have knowledge about the types of soil and their characteristics, for mixing and molding of clay as per requirements. The trial and error method have behind such kinds of innovations. This was the beginning of artifact skill.

6.3 Geology

The ancient remains like stone sewer lines, art of stone cutting, shaping and carving work on stone have strong evidence that they had familiar with geological knowledge about selection of ideal rocks.

6.4 Geometry

According to Kulkarni (1977), they have basic geometrical knowledge of volume and dimensional understanding of height, length and width etc, which is reflecting from their work.

6.5 Decomposition, Infiltration and Evaporation

The pit and drain toilet system had been basically invented with proper understanding of biological processes of decomposition and the liquid characteristics i.e. rate of infiltration and degree of evaporation.

6.6 Science of Water

They also achieved knowledge of water sciences from daily observation like chemical and physical characteristics of solid and liquid material, floating, solution, siltation and sedimentation etc.

6.7 Gravity and slope

The gravity and slope have the soul of sewage system, which had applied a lot in these ancient innovations.

6.8 Awareness about upcoming issues

They had also been aware about future issues regarding to convenient flow of sewage system i.e. blockage, siltation, sedimentation etc and had tried the structural solutions i.e. Manhole system, cesspit system, solid trapped vertical sieve etc.

6.9 Size of Sewer

The dissimilar size of ancient sewer lines shows that they had enough understanding of variation of sewage generation rate with number of persons, usage of water and seasonal changes. On the basis of load on sewer, they had constructed small to big sewer line from source to last point.

CONCLUSION

The present attempt is totally based on secondary authentic literature, collected from various sources and from different research streams. The paper has been trying to highlight the ancient innovations of the selected cases. The main focus of the same has to enlist the basic innovations of sewage and discuss about their knowledge behind such innovations. It is concluded that in that ancient era, they had quit familiar with scientific streams like geometry, gravity, measurements, scales. geological and geographical aspects, process of decomposition, infiltration and evaporation, etc. In short, even now a day we have number of sewage related issues. That means we have not been understood, well from our ancient historical innovations.

REFERENCES

[1] Kadam, A., Saptarshi, P. and Kadam, Avinash (2002). Health Study of Workers in the Brick Industry. Maharashtra Bhugolshastra Sanshodhan Patrika, 16(1), pp. 47-56.

[2] Shingote, S. and Kadam, Avinash (2006). Impact of Structure of Rural Settlement on Public Services – A Micro Level Geographical Assessment, Maharashtra Bhugolshastra Sanshodhan Patrika, 20(1), pp. 8-15.

[3] Wilkinson, T. J. (2000). Regional approaches to Mesopotamian archaeology: The contribution of archaeological surveys. Journal of Archaeological Research, 8(3), pp.219-267. http://download.springer. com (Accessed on 23-6-2015).

[4] Raikes, R. L., and Dyson, R. H. (1961). The prehistoricclimate of Baluchistan and the Indus Valley. AmericanAnthropologist, 63(2),pp.265-281.

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com. (Accessed on 5-6-2015).

[5] Possehl, G. L. (1967). The Mohenjo-daro Floods: A Reply. American Anthropologist, 69(1), pp.32-40. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com(Accessed on 3-12-2014).

[6] Allchin, B. (1976). Palaeolithic sites in the plains of Sind and their geographical implications. Geographical Journal, 142(3), pp. 471-489. http://www.jstor.org (Accessed on 5-5-2015).

[7] Eddy, H. P. (1932). Contributions of the Engineer to Public Health Conservation. Canadian Public Health Journal, 23(8), 353-361. http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf (Accessed on 10-9-2015).

[8] Oates, D. (1990). Innovations in mud-brick: Decorative and structural techniques in ancient Mesopotamia. World archaeology, 21(3), pp. 388-406. http://www.jstor.(Accessed on 16-4 2015).

[9] DeLaine, J. (1990). Structural experimentation: The lintel arch, corbel and tie in western Roman architecture. World Archaeology, 21(3), pp. 407-424. http://www.jstor.org (Accessed on 12-12-2014).

[10] Roaf, M. (1995). Palaces and temples in ancient Mesopotamia. Civilizations of the Ancient Near East, 3, pp. 423-41.

[11] Manzoor, S., and Seung, P. (2013).Focusing Brick by Brick from Micro Level Material to Macro Level City Morphology: Green Healthy Brick Design. Civil Engineering and Architecture, 1(3), pp. 79-87. http://www.hrpub.org (Accessed on 01-4-2015).

[12] Khan, A., and Lemmen, C. (2014). Bricks and urbanism in the Indus Valley rise and decline. arXiv preprint arXiv: pp.1303.1426. http://arxiv.org (Accesses on 8-1-2016).

[13] Hoyt, H. (1962). The Function of the Ancient and the Modern City. Land Economics, 38(3), pp.241-247. http://www.jstor.org (Accessed on 12-11-2015).

[14] Jansen, M. (1985). Mohenjo-Daro, city of the Indus Valley. Endeavour, New Series 9(4), pp.161-169. https://www.researchgate.net (Accessed on 5-12-2015).

[15] Kenoyer, J. M. (2003). Uncovering the keys to the lost Indus cities. Scientific American, 289(1), pp.66-75. http://wps.prenhall.com (Accessed on 8-9-2014).

[16] Corrigan, W. J. (1932). Sanitation Under the Ancient Minoan Civilization. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 27(1), pp.77. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc /articles (Accessed on 12-12-2015).

[17] Angelakis, A. N., and Koutsoyiannis, D. (2003).Urban water engineering and management in Ancient Greece. The encyclopedia of water science, pp.999-1008.

[18] Dooge, J.C.I. (2004). Background to Modern Hydrology, Ed Book By, Rodda, J. C., and Ubertini, L., The Basis of Civilization-water Science?(No. 286). Pp. 3-12. International Association of Hydrological Sciences.

[19] Koutsoyiannis, D., and Angelakis, A. N. (2003). Hydrologic and hydraulic science and technology in ancient Greece. The encyclopedia of water science, pp.415-417. http://www.aangelakis.gr (Accessed on. 1-12-2015).

[20] Kaphale, S., Parakhe G. and Kadam, Avinash (2005). A Geographical Study of Historical Water Irrigation System in Junnar Tahsil. Maharashtra Bhugolshastra Sanshodhan Patrika, 19(1), pp. 8-13.

[21] Angelakis, A. N., Savvakis, Y. M., and Charalampakis, G. (2006). Minoan aqueducts: a pioneering technology. In 1st IWA international symposium on water and wastewater technologies in ancient civilizations. Heraklion, Greece pp.423-429. http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu (Accessed on 1-11-2015).

[22] Mays, L. W., Koutsoyiannis, D., and Angelakis, A. N. (2007). A brief history of urban water supply in antiquity. Water Science and Technology: Water Supply, 7(1), pp.1-12. DOI: 10.2166/ws.2007.001 (Accessed on 17-5-2015).

[23] Mays, L. W. (2008). A very brief history of hydraulic technology during antiquity. Environmental fluid mechanics, 8(5-6), pp.471-484. http://www.chaz.org/ (Accessed on 3-8-2015).

[24] Koutsoyiannis, D. and others (2008). Urban water management in Ancient Greece: Legacies and lessons. Journal of water resources planning and management, 134(1), pp.45-54. http://www.a-angelakis.gr (Accessed on 20-2-2015).

[25] Zarkadoulas, N. and others (2008). Climate, water and health in ancient Greece. European Geosciences Union General Assembly, geophysical research abstracts, pp.10. http://www.itia.ntua.gr (Accessed on. 16-6-2015).

[26] Feo, G. D. and others (2013). Historical and technical notes on aqueducts from prehistoric to medieval times. Water, 5(4), pp.1996-2025. http://www.mdpi.com (Accessed 7-4-2015).

[27] Voudouris, K. S. and others (2013). Hydrogeological characteristics of Hellenic aqueducts-like Qanats.*Water*, *5*(3), pp.1326-1345. http://www.mdpi. Com (Accessed on 8-10-2015).

[28] Juuti, P. S. and others (2015). Short Global History of Fountains.Water, 7(5), pp. 2314-2348. http://www.mdpi. com/2073-4441/7/5/2314/htm (Accessed on 7-1-2016).

[29] Kadam, Avinash and Saptarshi, P. (1999). Population Distribution in Baramati Tehsil, District Pune, Maharashtra. Maharashtra Bhugolshastra Sanshodhan Patrika, 13(2), pp. 105-120.

[30] Tzanakakis, V. E., Paranychianakis, N. V. and Angelakisa, A. N. (2006, October). Evolution of Land Treatment Practice for the Management of Wastes. In IWA 1st International Symposium on Water and Wastewater Technologies and Ancient Civlizations. http://www.aangelakis.gr/files/5%20FR59.pdf (Accessed on1-12-2014).

[31] Tseropoulos, G. and others (2013). On the flow characteristics of the conical Minoan pipes used in water supply systems, via computational fluid dynamics simulations. Journal of Archaeological Science, 40(4), pp. 2057-2068. https://www.researchgate.net (Accessed on 12-1-2015).

[32] Angelakis, A. N., De Feo, G., Laureano, P., & Zourou, A. (2013). Minoan and Etruscan hydro-technologies. Water, 5(3), pp.972-987. http://www.mdpi.com/ (Accessed on 15th March 2016).

[33] Angelakis, A. N., & Zheng, X. Y. (2015). Evolution of Water Supply, Sanitation, Wastewater, and Stormwater Technologies Globally. Water, 7(2), pp. 455-463. http://www.mdpi.com (Accessed on 20-2-2016).

[34] Angelakis, A. N. (2005). Water and Wastewater Thechnology in Ancient Greece with emphasis on Minoan Era. http://www.a-angelakis.gr/photo_gallery/ intro.pdf (Accessed on 17-7-2014).

[35] Lovell, N. C. (1997). Aneamia in the ancient Indus
Valley. International Journal of Osteoarchaeology, 7(2), pp.115123. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Nancy
Lovell/publication/ (Accessed on 2nd May 2016).

[36] Lofrano, G. and Brown, J. (2010). Wastewater management through the ages: A history of mankind. Science of the Total Environment, 408(22), pp.5254-

5264.https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/ (Accessed on 10-10-2015).

[37] Aytaç, S. M. (2004). The social and technical development of toilet design, A Dissertation Submitted to the Graduate School in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of, Master of Industrial Designer, Zmir Institute of Technology Zmir, Turket. http://openaccess.iyte.edu.tr/handle/11147/3366?show=full (Accessed on 16-10-2015).

[38] Genc, A. (2009). The Evolution of Toilet and its Current State, A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences of Middle East Technical University, pp. 1-10.

[39] Urruty, J. (1997). A Room with a bath. A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of the collage of Fine and Applied Arts in Candidacy for the Degree of Master of Fine Art, Rochester Institute of Technology. http://scholarworks.rit.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3618&co ntext=theses (Accessed on 4-12-2014).

[40] Bond, T. and others (2013). Ancient water and sanitation systems—applicability for the contemporary urban developing world. Water Science & Technology, 67(5), pp.935-941. http://www.researchgate.net (Accessed on 16-10-2015).

[41] EI-Gohary, F.A. (2014). Evolution of Sanitation and wastewater technologies in Egypt through centuries, Ed. book by Angelakis, A. N. and Rose, J. B., Evolution of Sanitation and Wastewater Technologies through the Centuries, pp. 55-68.

[42] Taylor, C. (2005). The disposal of human waste: a comparison between Ancient Rome and Medieval London. Past Imperfect, pp.53-72. http://ejournals. library.ualberta.ca (Accessed on 14-7-2014).

[43] Brown, J. A. and Lofarna, G. (2005). The early history of wastewater treatment and disinfection. In Impacts of Global Climate Change. World Environmental and Water Resources

Congress 2015, ASCE, pp. 1-7.ascelibrary.org (Accessed on 21-1-16).

[44] McMohan, A. (2015). Waste Management in Early Urban Southern Mesopotamia. Ed. Book by Mitchell, A., Sanitation, Latrines and Intestinal Parasites in Past Populations, (pp. 19-40). Ashgate publication.

[45] Feo, G. D. and others (2014). The Historical Development of Sewers Worldwide. Sustainability, 6(6), pp. 3936-3974. DOI: 10.3390/su6063936 (Accessed on 7-10-2015).

[46] Gray, H. F. (1940). Sewerage in ancient and mediaeval times. Sewage Works Journal, pp. 939-946. sewerhistory.org (Accessed on 13-11-2014).

[47] Moeller, D. W. and Moeller, D. W. (2009). Environmental health (pp. 188-214). Harvard University Press publication. https://www.google.co.in/search?tbo=

p&tbm=bks&q=isbn:0674041186.

[48] Hutchinson, R. W. (1950). Prehistoric town planning in Crete. Town Planning Review, 21(3), pp.199. http://www.jstor.org/ stable/40102025 (Accessed on 5-3-2016).

[49] Khan, S. (2014). Sanitation and Wastewater Technology in Harappa/ Indus Valley Civilization (ca 2600-1900 BC), ed. book by Angelakis, A. N. and Rose, J. B., Evolution of Sanitation and Wastewater Technologies through the Centuries, pp. 55-68. IWA publication.

[50] Ratnagar, S. (2014). The drainage systems at Mohenjo-Daro and Nausharo: A technological breakthrough or a stinking disaster? Studies in People's History, 1(1), pp.1-6. http://sip.sagepub.com/content/1/1/1.full.pdf+html (Accessed on 19-1-2015).

[51] Webster, C. (1962). The sewers of Mohenjo-Daro. Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation), pp. 116-123. http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/25034575.pdf (Accessed on 22-4-2015).

[52] Foil, J. L., Cerwick, J. A. and White, J. E. (1993). Collection systems past and present. Water Environment and

Technology.http://sewerhistory.org/articles/wh_era

1993ac/Collection_systems.pdf (Accessed on 6-6-2015).

[53] Fitzsimons, M. A. (1970). The Indus Valley Civilization. History Teacher, 4(1), pp.9-22. http://www.jstor.org (Accessed on 5-2-2015).

[54] Lechner, N. M. (2011). Plumbing, electricity, acoustics: sustainable design methods for architecture. John Wiley & Sons. https://books.google.co.in (Accessed on 20-3-2015).

[55] Vuorinen, H. S., Juuti, P. S., and Katko, T. S. (2007). History of water and health from ancient civilizations to modern times. Water Science and Technology: Water Supply, 7(1), pp.49-57. http://www.iwawaterwiki.org (Accessed on 18-3-2015).

[56] Antoniou, G. P. (2010). Ancient Greek Lavatories: Operation with reused water. Ed. Book by Mays, L., Ancient Water Technology (pp. 95-100). Springer Science and Business Media publication.

[57] Jansen, M. (1989). Water supply and sewage disposal at Mohenjo-Daro.World Archaeology, 21(2), 177-192. http://www.jstor.org (Accessed on 25-8-2015).

[58] Angelakisa, A. and others (2012). On the geometry of the Minoan water conduits. IWA Specialized Conference on Water &Wastewater Technologies in Ancient Civilizations. http://www.itia.ntua.gr (Accessed on 25-12-2015).

[59] Angelakis, A. N. and others (2014). Urban water supply, wastewater, and stormwater considerations in ancient Hellas: lessons learned. Environment and Natural Resources Research, 4(3), pp.95. http://www.ccsenet.org (Accessed on 9-12-2015).

[60] Tamburrino, A. (2010). Water Technology in Ancient Mesopotamia. Ed. Book by Mays, L., (pp. 95-100). Springer Science and Business Media publication.

[61] Angelakis, A. N., Koutsoyiannis, D. and Tchobanoglous, G.(2005). Urban wastewater and stormwater technologies in ancient Greece. Water Research, 39(1), pp. 210-220.

http://frontinus.de/pdf/ vortragangelakis.pdf (Accessed on 3-12-2015).

[62] Amulree, L. (1973). Hygienic conditions in ancient Rome and modern London. Medical history, 17(3), pp. 244-255. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov(Accessed on 10-11-2015).

[63] Bertrand-Krajewski, J. L. (2008, August). Flushing urban sewers until the beginning of the 20th century. In Proceedings of the 11th International Conference of Urban Drainage (11 ICUD), Edinburgh, UK (Vol. 31, pp.1-10. https://www.researchgate.net (Accessed on 18-12-2014).

[64] Taylor, C. (2015). A Tale of Two Cities: The Efficacy of Ancient and Medieval Sanitation Methods. Ed. Book by Mitchell, P., Sanitation, latrines and intestinal parasites in past populations. Pp. 69-98. Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.

[65] Delleur, J. W. (2003). The evolution of urban hydrology: past, present, and future. Journal of hydraulic engineering, 129(8), pp. 563-573.

[66] Mughal, M.A. (2011). Mohenjo-Daro's sewers, in World history encyclopedia. Santa Barbara, Calif. ABC-CLIO, pp.121-122. http://dro.dur.ac.uk (Accessed on 26-12-2015).

[67] Lofrano, G., and Brown, J. (2010). Wastewater management through the ages: A history of mankind. Science of the Total Environment, 408(22), pp. 5254-5264. https://www.researchgate.net (Accessed on 15-9-2014).

[68] Kulkarni, R. P. (1978). Geometry as known to the people of Indus civilization. Indian Journal of History of Science, 13,pp.117-124.http://insa.nic.in/writereaddata

/UpLoadedFiles/IJHS/Vol13_2_4_RPKulkarni.pdf (Accessed on 3-12-2015).

References

www.wikipedia.org www.sewerhistory.org www.britannica.com www.historyworld.net

EUROPEAN ACADEMIC RESEARCH - Vol. IV, Issue 3 / June 2016

www.ancient.eu/Mesopotamia www.timemaps.com www.mesopotamia.co.uk www.ancientmesopotamians.com www.toilet-guru.com www.theplumber.com www.ancient.eu www.history-world.org

AUTHORS' PROFILE:



Dr. Avinash S. Kadam received the Post Graduate and Doctoral degrees in Geography from Savitribai Phule Pune University (formerly the University of Pune), Pune (India) in 1997 and 2002 respectively. He has also completed his study for Post Graduate Diploma in Environmental Management from the same University (2003). In addition, he got LLB

degree from SRTM University, Nanded (India) and studying legal aspects of Human and Natural Resource Management. He has worked as a Secretary General, Maharashtra Bhugolshastra Parishad, Pune for 10 years from 2000 to 2010. At present he is working at SRTM University, Nanded. Under his supervision, 3 Ph.D. and 7 M.Phil scholars have honored by the degree.



Ms. Vidhyatail S. Patil is research scholar of School of Earth Sciences, SRTM University, Nanded. She is working on, "Geographical Assessment of Sewage System of Nanded city with Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System". She has published some research papers and successfully completed one RS & GIS course at NRSA, Hyderabad.