

Impact Factor: 3.1 (UIF) DRJI Value: 5.9 (B+)

Efficacy of Different IPM Techniques for Suppression of Sucking Pests of Okra

RAB DINO KHUHRO IMRAN ALI RAJPUT Department of Entomology Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam Sindh-Pakistan FARHAN AHMAD Entomology Section Central Cotton Research Institute, Sakrand Sindh-Pakistan MUHAMMAD HANIF LAKHO **Department of Statistics** Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam Sindh- Pakistan SHAH NAWAZ KHUHRO Entomology Section Central Cotton Research Institute, Sakrand Sindh-Pakistan KHALID HUSSAIN DHILOO Department of Entomology Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam Sindh-Pakistan

Abstract:

Various IPM techniques were evaluated against major sucking pests on okra atexperimental Latif Farm during 2013. The results showed that sucking insect pests jassids, thrips, whiteflies and mites were present on okra. The study showed that maximum reduction percent of jassid was found through drop cloth methods (59.42 ± 2.57) followed by Dhatura (55.58 ± 2.49), Neem oil ($33.44\pm4.98\%$) and Trooh ($32.165\pm1.90\%$). Drop cloth and Dhatura gave better results against jassids than neem oil and trooh. The drop cloth IPM technique was first time tested and compared with other bio-pesticides. In the

condition of agricultural pest management, botanical pesticides are best suited for use in organic food production in industrial countries but can take part in a better role in the production and post-harvest protection of food in Pakistan. Developing countries are rich in endemic plant biodiversity where these bio-pesticides may ultimately have their greatest impact in future integrated pest management (IPM) programmes, given their safety to non-target organisms and the environment.

Key words: Neem oil, drop cloth, trooh, dhatura, sucking pests and okra

Introduction

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) or Lady finger or Bhindi is a warm season annual crop and is one of the most common, widely grown vegetables of Pakistan (Javed*et al.*, 2009). It provides vitamin A, B and C and also rich source of minerals, carbohydrates, protein, iron and iodine (Norman, 1992) among the varied vegetables and is cultivated almost throughout the year due to favorable climatic conditions (Memon *et al.*, 2002).

The okra plants are attacked by a number of insect pests like Bemisia tabacim, Thrips tabaci, Aphis gossypii, Amrasca devastans, Earias vittella, Dysdercus koengii, Helicoverpa armigera, Acrocercops bifasciata, Podagrica, Anomisflava, Sylepta derogata, Haritalodes derogata, and Nezara viridula (Basu, 1995; Lohar, 2001; Mani et al., 2005).These insect pests complex damages the crop during their different growth stages, right from germination to harvest (Jagtab et al., 2007) and results in getting lower yields (Kumarand Sherma 1993; Gulati, 2004). The yield losses due to insect pests have been reported up to 69 percent (Mani et al., 2005). Insect pests not only reduce the growth and production but also transmit pathogenic diseases (Sheedi, 1980; Dhaliwal et al., 1981).

Among sucking insect pests, *Amrasca devastans*, *Aphis gossypi*, *Thrips tabaci*, and *B. tabaci*, are the major sucking pests and cause extensive damage in the early stage of crop (Atwal, 1994; Dubey *et al.*, 1999; Lohar, 2001; Mani *et al.*, 2005).

These pests are managed by the massive use of insecticides, as it is an immediate solution to control the pests (Mehmood *et al.*, 2001). It has estimated that 27% of the total insecticides are being used on fruit and vegetables in Pakistan (Hussain *et al.*, 2002). This approach has contributed to the environmental pollution on large scale. There is a need to explore alternatives, available pest control techniques to reduce the use of insecticides.

Integrated pest management could be the safe, cheapest and appropriate approach to achieve sustainability in okra (Dhaliwal *et al.*, 1981; Dubey *et al.*, 1999; Kumawat *et al.*, 2000; Ahmad *et al.*, 2003; Ahmad *et al.*, 2011; Khuhro *et al.*, 2014). In this regard encouragement of bio-pesticides such as plant extracts occupies a central position in integrated pest management (Akbar *et al.*, 2012). Plant extracts have been reported ecofriendly options for management of insect pests of okra (Bindu *et al.*, 2003; Singh and Brar, 2004; Paulraj and Ignacimuthu, 2005). The research have reported the usefulness of plant extracts in controlling different sucking pests of okra including jassid, (Akbar *et al.*, 2007) aphid (Akbar *et al.*, 2008), whitefly (Akbar *et al.*, 2009) and spider mite, (Kumar and Sherma 1993; Singh and Singh, 1999)

Keeping in view the hazardous nature of insecticides the current study was planned to evaluate some non-insecticidal options, plant extracts along with the drop cloth methods aiming to develop the best package of management practices for control the sucking pests of okra.

Methodology

The subz pari variety of okra was grown on 5 equal sized plots (80×80sq ft) separately on ridges at Latif experimental farm on March 5, 2013. All the cultural practices like weeding, fertilizer and irrigations were given as per agronomic recommendations. No pesticides were applied. There were 5 treatments i-e T1= Neem oil, T2= Datura, T3= Trooh, T4= Drop cloth method and control (No treatment). The doze of Neeem oil was 100ml/16 liters water in knapsack sprayer. Biopesticides Dhatura and Trooh 15 kg each were chopped and boiled in 15 liters water. When 5 liters boiled water was left it was drained through muslin cloth the doze of biopesticides was 200 ml/tank. Drop cloth 30×2 sq ft yellow in color was applied grease with brush and kept in between 2 rows of okra and plants were shacked with hands and the pests dropped due to shacking were attached on greased drop cloth. The control plot was not applied any treatment. Pre treatment and post treatment observations were recorded on the same date before application of treatments. Finally reduction percentages of sucking pests were calculated using Abbot Formula.

Result

Jassids:

The data shows (Table 1a) that maximum jassid population was in neem oil (2.79 ± 0.56) followed by Trooh (2.57 ± 0.53) , drop cloth (2.40 ± 0.52) , Dhatura (2.17 ± 0.49) and as compare to control (6.70 ± 0.66) was recorded against jassid on okra.

The data of reduction percent of jassid (Table 1b) indicate that overall maximum mean reduction (59.42 ± 2.57) was recorded in drop cloth method followed by $(55.58\pm2.49\%)$ in dhatura, $(33.44\pm4.98\%)$ in neem oil and $(32.165\pm1.90\%)$ in trooh. Further results showed that better performance of drop

cloth methods and dhatura biopesticides than other biopesticides.

Date of Observation/weeks	T1 (Neem Oil)		T2 (Dhatura)		T3 (Trooh)		T4 (Drop cloth)		T5 (Control)	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Pos	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
01-04-2013	6.37	3.30	3.36	1.45	3.06	2.60	1.93	0.73	6.50	6.46
08-04-2013	4.16	3.50	5.20	1.25	3.11	2.20	1.56	0.83	6.60	6.57
15-04-2013	4.23	3.38	6.16	1.21	3.14	1.43	2.96	1.20	6.12	6.08
22-04-2013	4.81	2.69	4.46	1.33	6.20	2.73	2.20	0.56	8.52	8.40
29-04-2013	3.03	2.53	6.06	2.52	3.19	2.30	2.16	0.50	8.80	8.67
06-05-2013	4.06	2.30	5.26	2.36	4.25	2.36	2.23	0.86	7.25	7.22
13-05-2013	3.76	2.56	4.56	3.63	4.69	3.93	3.70	1.30	5.60	5.52
20-05-2013	4.83	2.73	5.30	2.52	3.89	2.06	3.43	1.30	5.80	5.76
27-05-2013	4.23	2.16	5.21	3.22	4.01	3.56	1.46	0.83	5.90	5.58
Mean+ S.E	4.39±0.70	2.79±0.56	5.06±0.75	2.17±0.49	3.95±0.66	2.57±0.53	2.40±0.52	$0.90{\pm}0.32$	6.79±0.87	6.70±0.66

Table-01 (a) weekly mean population of Jassid on okra

Table-01 (B) Reduction %age of jassid

Date of	T1	T2	T3	T 4
Observation	(Neem Oil)	(Dhatura)	(Trooh)	(Drop cloth)
104-2013	47.88	56.69	14.48	61.96
08-04-2013	15.48	75.85	28.93	46.59
15-04-2013	19.57	80.25	54.17	59.20
22-04-2013	43.29	69.76	55.36	74.19
29-04-2013	15.53	56.69	26.80	65.41
06-05-2013	43.13	54.94	44.24	61.31
1305-2013	26.97	19.27	15.00	64.35
2005-2013	43.09	52.13	46.70	61.83
27-05-2013	46.02	34.68	8.18	39.93
MEAN±S.E	33.44 ± 1.93	55.58 ± 2.49	$32.65{\pm}1.90$	59.42 ± 2.57

Whitefly:

The data indicated (Table 2a) showed that the best results were in drop cloth (1.15 ± 0.63) followed by dhatura (1.64 ± 0.43) , neem oil (2.33 ± 0.58) , Trooh (2.61 ± 0.54) and in control (5.35 ± 0.66) was recorded on okra after the use of post applications. Similarly,

the data of reduction percent of whitefly (Table 2b) indicate that overall maximum mean reduction $(66.86\pm2.73\%)$ was recorded in drop cloth method followed by $(47.76\pm2.30\%)$ in dhatura, $(40.11\pm1.81\%)$ in neem oil and $(29.36\pm1.81\%)$ in trooh.

Date of Observation/ weeks	T1 (Neem Oil)		T2 (Dhatura)		T3 (Trooh)		T4 (Drop Cloth)		T5 (Control)	
weeks	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
104-2013	5.23	1.96	4.27	1.25	4.69	2.10	5.46	0.86	5.6	5.3
08-04-2013	3.39	2.23	3.12	1.45	3.18	2.60	3.40	1.10	5.50	5.24
15-04-2013	3.24	2.26	3.03	1.26	3.12	2.23	3.26	1.46	5.60	5.20
22-04-2013	4.07	3.36	3.18	1.56	4.13	3.06	2.90	1.70	4.80	4.48
29-04-2013	3.91	2.90	3.02	1.33	5.12	2.83	3.40	0.90	5.80	5.24
06-05-2013	5.15	2.30	3.11	2.39	4.26	2.50	3.66	1.16	6.25	5.98
13-05-2013	3.84	1.56	4.37	1.44	4.19	2.63	4.83	1.50	6.12	5.86
20-05-2013	4.88	1.83	3.78	1.58	3.79	2.20	4.16	0.70	5.50	5.15
27-05-2013	4.52	2.60	4.10	2.54	3.56	3.36	3.90	0.96	5.80	5.70
Mean+ S.E	4.25±0.69	2.33±0.58	3.55±0.63	1.64±0.43	4.00±0.67	2.61±0.54	3.89±0.66	1.15±0.36	5.66±0.79	5.35±0.66

Table- 02 (A) weekly mean population of whitefly on okra

Table-02 (B) Reduction %age of whitefly

Date of Observation	T1	T2	T3	T4
Date of Observation	(Neem Oil)	(Dhatura)	(Trooh)	(Drop cloth)
104-2013	60.50	69.10	52.70	83.41
08-04-2013	31.00	51.31	14.35	66.10
15-04-2013	26.94	55.32	23.18	51.00
22-04-2013	11.62	47.55	20.71	37.20
29-04-2013	17.91	13.00	38.90	70.75
06-05-2013	53.41	19.70	37.85	66.90
1305-2013	57.61	56.60	34.52	67.61
2005-2013	60.00	55.42	38.00	83.80
27-05-2013	42.00	61.80	4.00	75.00
MEAN±S.E	40.11±2.11	47.76±2.30	29.36±1.81	66.86±2.73

Thrips:

The results shows (Table 3a) that maximum thrips population was in neem oil (1.93 ± 0.46) followed by dhatura (1.39 ± 0.38) , Trooh (1.32 ± 0.35) , drop cloth (1.00 ± 0.33) as compare to control

(4.40±0.70) recorded against the thrips on okra. However, the data of reduction percent of thrip (Table 3b) indicate that overall maximum mean reduction $(50.31\pm2.36\%)$ was recorded in drop cloth method followed by (43.58±2.00%) in trooh, (37.49±2.04%) in neem oil and (34.54±1.96%) in dhatura.

Date of Observation/ weeks	T1 (Neem Oil)		T2 (Dhatura)		T3 (Trooh)		T4 (Drop Cloth)		T5 (Control)	
WEEKS	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
104-2013	3.12	2.63	3.16	1.26	2.09	0.86	1.86	0.36	4.41	4.38
08-04-2013	2.61	2.33	3.21	1.24	2.07	1.10	1.73	0.50	3.65	3.55
15-04-2013	2.18	1.56	2.19	1.15	2.16	1.60	2.23	0.90	5.32	5.29
22-04-2013	3.74	2.30	2.21	1.39	2.14	1.63	1.44	0.80	4.12	4.11
29-04-2013	3.36	2.21	2.69	1.41	2.15	1.73	1.86	0.50	6.32	6.30
06-05-2013	3.96	2.36	2.87	2.21	2.13	1.03	2.36	1.20	3.25	3.15
1305-2013	2.51	0.93	2.32	1.29	2.31	1.46	1.96	1.43	4.52	4.40
2005-2013	3.32	1.36	2.14	1.30	2.24	1.70	2.36	1.63	4.25	4.20
27-05-2013	3.25	1.65	2.96	1.34	2.36	0.80	2.03	1.70	4.36	4.25
Mean+ S.E	3.12±0.59	1.93±0.46	2.64±0.54	1.39±0.39	2.18±0.49	1.32±0.38	1.98±0.47	1.00±0.33	4.47±0.70	4.40±0.70

Table- 03 (A) weekly mean populations of thrips on okra

Table. 03 (B) Reduction %age of Thrips

Date of	T1	T2	T 3	T 4
Observation	(Neem Oil)	(Dhatura)	(Trooh)	(Drop cloth)
1-04-2013	15.5	60.91	58.74	80.79
08-04-2013	8.51	6.89	14.85	71.80
15-04-2013	28.64	47.20	45.40	59.52
22-04-2013	38.89	37.85	70.91	44.60
29-04-2013	34.91	47.90	24.10	74.12
06-05-2013	40.19	20.10	51.00	48.56
1305-2013	62.67	43.51	35.50	26.94
2005-2013	59.90	38.53	24.92	30.81
27-05-2013	48.17	7.96	66.78	15.63
MEAN±S.E	37.49 ± 2.04	$34.54{\pm}1.96$	43.58 ± 2.20	50.31 ± 2.36

Mites:

The data indicated (Table 4a) showed that after the use of post applications best treatment was in Trooh (3.83 ± 0.56) followed

by drop cloth (2.37 ± 0.51) , neem oil (2.26 ± 0.50) , dhatura (1.13 ± 0.35) and in control (4.28 ± 0.69) was recorded on okra. Similarly, the data of reduction percent of mites (Table 4b) indicate that overall maximum mean reduction of mites $(53.27\pm2.43\%)$ was recorded in dhatura followed by $(43.62\pm2.20\%)$ in neem oil, $(30.97\pm1.86\%)$ in trooh and $(24.52\pm1.65\%)$ was recorded on drop cloth method.

Date of Observation/ weeks	T1 (Neem Oil)		T2 (Dhatura)		T3 (Trooh)		T4 (Drop Cloth)		T5 (Control)	
weeks	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
104-2013	3.96	2.20	2.55	1.60	3.21	1.80	3.06	2.33	4.14	3.84
08-04-2013	3.92	3.21	2.65	1.66	2.33	1.20	3.96	2.13	3.39	3.25
15-04-2013	4.37	2.16	0.87	0.25	3.14	2.40	3.23	2.60	2.52	2.25
22-04-2013	4.51	2.63	3.14	1.47	3.25	2.50	3.53	2.50	4.69	4.57
29-04-2013	4.15	2.36	2.83	1.40	5.32	3.30	2.53	1.80	5.75	5.66
06-05-2013	3.71	2.73	2.76	2.21	4.71	3.20	3.70	2.53	4.89	4.58
1305-2013	3.96	2.30	3.01	0.15	4.57	3.70	4.20	3.83	5.16	5.14
2005-2013	3.65	1.93	2.87	0.19	5.09	4.03	3.93	2.36	4.19	4.11
27-05-2013	4.16	0.80	2.84	1.25	4.96	3.36	3.30	2.60	5.11	5.08
Mean+ S.E	4.0 4±0.67	2.26±0.50	2.61±0.54	1.13 ± 0.35	4.06±0.6 7	3.83±0.56	4.16±0.6 7	2.37±0.51	4.43±0.70	4.28±0.69

Table- 04 (A) weekly mean population of mite on okra

Table-04 (B) Reduction %age of Mites

Date of Observation	T1 (Neem Oil)	T2 (Dhatura)	T3 (Trooh)	T4 (Drop cloth)
1-04-2013	48.60	41.91	48.10	20.82
08-04-2013	14.70	35.92	42.73	44.90
15-04-2013	43.25	68.50	14.51	9.85
22-04-2013	40.89	54.23	26.31	29.30
29-04-2013	47.63	49.10	36.48	28.15
06-05-2013	26.80	19.50	30.10	27.92
1305-2013	41.71	95.01	19.60	8.81
2005-2013	46.90	54.50	20.35	29.69
27-05-2013	82.10	60.80	40.58	21.22
MEAN±S.E	43.62 ± 2.20	53.27 ± 2.43	30.97±1.86	24.52 ± 1.65

DISCUSSION

Bio-insecticides and drop cloth methods are environment friendly IPM techniques and looks to have some supremacy over synthetic insecticides (Grainge and Ahmed, 1988). These techniques are believed to be safe and are renowned for their diverse pest control properties as compared to synthetic insecticides. Although, their use is limited due to the instability, so needs its application at short intervals. The study showed that maximum reduction percent of jassid was found through drop cloth methods (59.42±2.57) followed by dhatura (55.58±2.49), neem oil (33.44±4.98%) and trooh (32.165±1.90%). Drop cloth and dhatura gave better results against jassid than neem oil and trooh. The drop cloth IPM technique was first time tested and compared with other biopesticides. The cost of biopesticides and drop cloth methods is cheaper and environment friendly for the control of jassid as compared to synthetic pesticide chemicals. The biopesticides dhatura, trooh and neem are commonly grown in fields. The processing of biopesticides is easier whereas, the neem oil is pressed in machines and available in the market. These results are in the line of many researchers. According to Khattak et al. (2001) plant extracts found very effective against jassid and whitefly after application. Adiroubane 12davs up to and Letchoumanane (1998) evaluated efficacy of plant extract against jassid and found effective results. Dhingra et al. (2008) also examined bio pesticides against the pests of okra including jassid and whitefly and found neem based insecticide effective up to 7 days.

Similar trend of reduction percent was seen for the control of whitefly with maximum reduction by drop cloth followed by dhatura, neem oil and least reduction percent was observed in trooh. However, in case of thrip maximum

reduction was observed in drop cloth method followed by trooh, dhatura and neem oil. The results further showed different patterns against mites. Dhatura and neem oil gave better performance for the control of mites than trooh and drop cloth. The drop cloth showed poor performance against mites could be due to their small size and feeding in colonies under webs. These results are supported by many researchers reported that integrated treatments were more effective against insect pests in okra as compared to insecticide. Adilakshmi *et al.*, (2008) and Ahmad *et al.*, (2011) verified bio-insecticides against sucking pests and they found the plant extracts comparatively less effective than insecticide, but it gave good control during initial or low infestation. Mudathir and Basedow (2004) found that neem based insecticides significantly reduced insect pest infestation in okra.

These IPM techniques are safest for human beings and beneficial insects. According to Caboni *et al.*, (2006) the plant based insecticides are safest for humans and biological control agents due to its lesser residual toxicity. The plant extracts are safer against coccinellid predators (Gowri*et al.*, 2002; Mishra and Mishra, 2002), *C. carnea* and *T. chilonis* (Praveen and Dhandapani 2001; Rao and Raguraman, 2005) and might be contribute to preserve the natural enemy biodiversity in crop ecosystem (Rao and Raguraman, 2005).

In conclusion, it is suggested that drop cloth method and plant based insecticide (dhatura, neem oil and trooh) are the cheapest and safest techniques for the control of sucking insect pests of okra and can be applied during lower infestations, whereas during heavy infestations we might be required chemical control. The validity of this experiment needs further perceptiveness of the IPM approaches by integrating drop cloth method, plant extracts, biological control and chemical control for developing effective and efficient strategies for sucking insect pest of okra.

Acknowledgement

The reported data is a part of Project research work of the Principal Investigator, Dr. Rab Dino Khuhro, carried out under the Sponsorship by Higher Education Commission Islamabad. The authors are thankful to HEC and authorities of Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan for providing funds and other necessary facilities.

REFERENCES:

- Adilakshmi, A, D.M. Korat and P.R. Vaishnav, 2008. Bioefficacy of some botanical insecticides against pests of okra. Karnataka J.Agric. Sci., 21: 290-292.
- Adiroubane, D. and S. Letchoumanan, 1998. Field efficacy of botanical extracts for controlling major insect pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Indian J. Agric. Sci., 68(3): 168-170.
- Ahmad, F., W. Akram, A. Sajjad and A. Imran, 2011.
 Management practices against cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus Solenopsis* (hemiptera: pseudococcidae).
 Int. J. Agric. Biol., 13: 547–552
- Ahmad, N., B. Fatima, G.Z. Khan, Nasrullah and A. Saleem, 2003. Field management of insect pests of cotton through augmentation of parasitoid and predators. Asian J. Plant Sci., 2: 563–565
- Akbar, M.F., N. Yasmin, S.N.H. Naqvi, M.F. Khan and F. Naz, 2007. Relative efficacy of biopesticide in comparison with conventional pesticides against *Amrasca devastans* Dist. on brinjal crop. Pak. J. Entomol. Karachi, 22: 1-3.
- Akbar, M.F., N. Yasmin, F. Naz and A. Haq, 2008. Efficacy of imidacloprid and endosulfan in comparison with biosal (Biopesticide) against *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) on mustard crop. Pak. J. Entomol. Karachi, 23: 27-30.
- Akbar, M.F., N. Yasmin, T.A. Latif and F. Naz, 2009. Effectiveness of different spray schedules against

population of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) crop. Pak. J. Entomol. Karachi, 24: 45-48.

- Akbar, M. F., M. A. Haq and N. Yasmin, 2012. Effectiveness of bio-insecticides as compared to conventional insecticides against jassid (*Amrascadevastans* Dist.) on okra (*Abelmoschus* esculentus L.) crop. Pak. J. Entomol. Karachi, 34(2): 161-165.
- Atwal, S. T. 1994. Agriculture Pests of India and South East Asia. Kalyani Publications, New Delhi. Pp28.
- Basu, A.N., 1995. *Bemesia tabaci* (Genn.) crop pest and principal whitefly vector of plant viruses. West View Press, San Fransisco, pp. 183.
- Bindu, P., T.M., Bharpoda, J.R. Patel, and J.J., Patel, 2003. Evaluation of various schedules based on botanical and synthetic insecticides in okra ecology. Indian J. Ent., 65: 344-346.
- Caboni, P., G. Sarais, A. Angioni, A.J. Garcia, F. Lai, F. Dedola and P. Cabras, 2006. Residues and Persistence of neem formulations on strawberry after field treatment. J. Agric. Food Chem., 54: 10026–10032
- Dhaliwal, J.S., M.S. Sidhu, and G., Singh, 1981. Effect of different dates of sowing on sorghum and the incidence of red leaf spot disease and major insect pests in Punjab. J. Res. Agric. Univ. Ludhiana, 18: 400-405.
- Dhingra, S., S. Walia, J. Kumar, S. Singh, G. Singh and B.S. Parmar, 2008. Field efficacy of azadirachtin-A, tetrahydroazadirachtin-A, NeemAzal and endosulfan against key pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Pest. Manag. Sci., 64: 1187-1194.
- Dubey, V.K., K. Bhagat, and Y.K., Yadu, 1999. Insect pest succession studies on okra. J. appl. Zool. Res., 10: 144-145.

- Gowri, S., G. Ramachandrarao, and B., Nagalingam, 2002. Impact of neem formulations on Coccinellid predators of okra pest complex. Pestic. Res. J., 14: 242-243.
- Grainge, M. and S. Ahmad, 1988. Handbook of Plants with Pest Control Properties. John Wiley and Sons, 470 p.
- Gulati, R., 2004. Incidence of *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* infestation in different varieties of *Abelmoschus* esculentus. Ann. Pl. Protect. Sci., 10: 239-242.
- Hussain, S., T. Masud and K. Ahad, 2002. Determination of pesticides residues in selected varieties of mango. Pak. J. Nutr., 1: 41-42.
- Jagtab, C.R., S.S. Shetgar and P.K. Nalwandikar, 2007. Fluctuation in population of lepidopterous pest infesting okra in relation to weather parameters during Kharif. Indian. J. Entomol., 69 (3): 218-220.
- Javed, H., M.A. Aziz, and R.A.K., Leghari, 2009. Resistance in different okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) cultivars against American bollworm (Helicoverpa armigera Hub.). J. agric. Res., 47: 433-438.
- Khattak, M.K., L. Khan, M.N. Awan and A.S. Hussain, 2001. Evaluation of some insecticidal combinations and neem (*Azadirachtin indica* A. Juss) against jassid and whitefly on cotton and their effect on the yield. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 4: 419-421.
- Khuhro, S.A., A.G. Lanjar and A.W., Solangi, 2014. Integrated Pest Management of Insect Pest Population through Different Technique Strategies in Okra Agro Eco-System. J. Biol. Agric. and Healthcare. 4(8): 74-80.
- Kumar, V. and D.D. Sharma, 1993. Bio-ecology and chemical control of spider mite, *Tetranychus ludeni* Zecher on okra. Indian J. Pl. Prot., 21: 68-71.
- Kumawat, R.L., Pareek, B.L. and Meena, B.L., 2000. Seasonal incidence of jassid and whitefly on okra and their correlation with abiotic factors. Ann. Biol., 16: 167-169.

- Lohar, M.K., 2001. Biological control. In: Applied entomology Published by Dr. Kashif Raza under Kashif Publication, Hyderabad. pp.147-167.
- Mani. M., A. Krishnamoorthy and C. Gopalakrishnan, 2005. Biological control of lepidopterous pests of Horticultural crops in India. A Review of Agricultural Research, 26(1): 39-49.
- Mehmood, K., M. Afzal and M. Amjad, 2001. Non-traditional insecticides: A new approach for the control of okra jassid. Online J. Biol. Sci., 1: 36-37.
- Memon, A.J., G.H. Abro, and T.S., Syed, 2002. Varietal resistance of okra against *Earias* spp. J. Ent., 1:1-5.
- Mishra, N.C. and S.N., Mishra, 2002. Impact of biopesticides on insect pests and defenders of okra. Indian J. Pl. Protect., 30: 99-101.
- Mudathir, M. and T., Basedow, 2004. Field experiments on the effects of neem products on pests and yields of okra Abelmoschus esculentus in the Sudan. Mitteil. Deutsch. Gesellsch. allgem. angew. Ent., 14: 407-410.
- Norman, 1992. Medical Botany. Rand and Clark Pub. Co. Edinburgh, 39 p.
- Paulraj, M.G. and T., Ignacimuthu, 2005. Predatory insect fauna in mixed cropping agro ecosystems in Northeastern Tamil Nadu. Insect Environ., 11: 79-82.
- Praveen, P.M. and N., Dhandapani, 2001. Eco-friendly management of major pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). J. Veget. Crop Prod., **7**: 3-12.
- Rao, N.S. and S., Raguraman, 2005. Influence of neem based insecticides on egg parasitoid, *Trichogramma chilonis* and green lace-wing predator, *Chrysoperla carnea*. J. Ecobiol., 17: 437-443.
- Sheedi, M.A., 1980. Arthropods associated with okra *Hibiscus* esculentus L. crop . M.Sc. thesis, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam. pp. 58.

- Singh, J. and K.S., Brar, 2004. Mass production and biological control potential of coccinellids in India. In: *Indian insect predators in biological control* (ed. K. Sahayaraj) Daya Publishing House, Delhi, India, pp. 204-260.
- Singh, R.N. and J. Singh, 1999. Evaluation of azadirachtin and some conventional acaricides against two spotted spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae*). Indian J. Entomol., 61: 188– 191.